



**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT [www.kclv.tv](http://www.kclv.tv). THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - PASTOR TOM UNMACHT, THE LAKES LUTHERAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (excused from the morning session until at 9:25 a.m.), BROWN (excused from the morning session at 12:29 p.m. and from the afternoon session until 1:41 p.m.), L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North  
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road  
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway  
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall  
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:02 – 9:03)

**1-1**

CHAPLAIN CHARLOTTE M. LEAS, Bereavement Coordinator for Bunkers Mortuaries, gave the invocation.

(9:03 – 9:04)

**1-20**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003 Announcements – Continued

### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:04 – 9:05)

**1-62**

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the future leaders from the New Horizons Academy, some of whom would be sitting with the Council for the morning portion of the meeting, and the remaining students would be sitting in the audience. He introduced PRESTON CURRAN, SCOTT HATH, DAVID RIGAZIO, LACE LUCAS, ADRIANNA MACIOCE, MICHAEL KATZ, and EMILY SCHROEDER, as well as their friends CHRIS BOHANNON, TAYLOR DUNN, CAITLIN BROOKSHIRE, JOE HALL, BEN HUBBARD, KYLE REDFORD, NICOLE REDFORD, MICHAEL ZAYAS, RYAN MANOS, and SAYLM TIDWELL.

MAYOR GOODMAN also welcomed their teachers, ALAN MARKERT and KIM JOHNSON and thanked them for bringing the students.

(9:27 – 9:28)

**1-780**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked KIM BAILEY TUROW for the complimentary calendars and the artwork throughout Council Chambers in recognition of Black History Month.

(12:44)

**3-1534**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that under the ceremonial portion of the agenda many good things would be celebrated, including people who have made this community a better place to live.

He then announced that this month's citizen of the month has been a member of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) for 19 years. He began working at the jail, later joined the crime lab, and then moved on to patrol. He is now in charge of five important details, including crime prevention, crime analysis, and the Fremont Street foot patrol. He also leads the H.E.L.P. (Homeless Evaluation Liaison Program) team. and heads the community policing division. He has been a vital participant in the Meadows Village Task Force and thanks in great part to his efforts, the City is making great strides in that area. With great pleasure, MAYOR GOODMAN recognized SERGEANT ERICK FRICKER as February 2003 Citizen of the Month, and he called up his wife, Cheryl, and his brother (name not given) to come forward to join her husband in receiving this recognition.

With great emotion, SERGEANT FRICKER expressed his appreciation for having such a great team and a great chain of command. His recognition is for all the people who really made a difference.

MAYOR GOODMAN added that words sometimes do not express the commitment that Metro had made, and they are working beautifully with the City in trying to maximize public safety. This is due in large part to SERGEANT FRICKER.

(9:05 – 9:08)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF SERVICE CORPS OF RETIRED EXECUTIVES (S.C.O.R.E.)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Proclamation given but not submitted for the minutes

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that another great group of individuals are those that make up the Service Corps of Retired Executives who make up the Service Corps of Retired Executives (S.C.O.R.E.). This group is dedicated to serving the community and assisting entrepreneurs develop new business opportunities. They provide counseling to those who want to open new businesses, wish to expand their operations, or simply have questions about the business environment. The City of Las Vegas is proud to promote new businesses and is glad to know that businessmen and women have assistance from such experienced professionals. MAYOR GOODMAN invited the following members, which make up the Executive Committee of the S.C.O.R.E. Las Vegas Chapter No. 243, to come to the podium: CHAIR RENE COLEMAN, VICE-CHAIR LARRY LAVINE, SECRETARY TOM MAYO, TRESURER BOB CUSHMAN, and COORDINATOR HUGH BENTON.

On behalf of the 50 members of S.C.O.R.E. in Las Vegas, MR. COLEMAN thanked the Council, especially COUNCILMAN WEEKLY because two of their offices are located in Ward 5. This week S.C.O.R.E. assisted 50 people, and they are always to help anybody who wants to start a business or has an existing business. Their desire is for these businesses and the City of Las Vegas to succeed.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that Las Vegas is a wonderful place because there are people in the community who volunteer their time and efforts without any personal benefit to them other than to make this community a better place to live.

(9:08 – 9:10)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF LIGHT AMERICAN AND PYROSPECTACULARS

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Videotape was shown but not submitted for the minutes

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that on New Year's Eve there was a wonderful spectacle of lights downtown on the 61 acres at the Union Pacific site. It was the best fireworks display he has ever seen, and the community was delighted. This great light show was put on thanks to JOHN BIELINSKI and CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MICHAEL HYAMES of Light American and Pyrospectaculars. They provided funding to make sure downtown Las Vegas had the premiere fireworks spectacular.

MR. BIELINSKI and MR. HYAMES came forward as videotape was displayed of the fireworks display. They each received a certificate of appreciation. MR. HYAMES said that they came to the assistance of the City when the Mayor declared that he was going to have fireworks downtown on New Year's Eve.

(9:10 – 9:13)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF BLACK HISTORY MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY felt honored to recognize Black History Month. He commented that it is important to take advantage of every opportunity to highlight the contributions that African-Americans have made to this country. In honor of Black History Month, the following day about 125 students would be attending an African-American Leadership Youth Conference to discuss various leadership concepts on how to help youth understand that in order to know where they are going they must know where they are coming from. Many great influential speakers will be present.

On this occasion, he honored the following people: BERTHA BASS (was not present) who is a phenomenal 102-year-old woman that has made an outstanding contribution to this community. WILLIAM BROWN, who is a 108-year-old veteran. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY presented him with what he calls the Century Milestone Award and a fruit basket. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested a standing ovation for MR. BROWN, as he is a World War I Veteran. MAYOR GOODMAN interjected that MR. BROWN is remarkable. MR. BROWN said hello to everyone.

With great honor, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY then recognized GLADYS KNIGHT, who is considered Las Vegas' Queen of Hearts. MS. KNIGHT came forward to accept a bouquet of flowers. He thanked her for all her contributions.

MS. KNIGHT said that she never imagined that her music would bring her so many awards. Her prayer has always been to have the spirit of her light, the light of her spirit, and for her music to touch people. Her being named Queen of Hearts means that she has touched hearts more than ears. That is a dream come true. She thanked the Council for this great honor.

(9:13 – 9:20)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF THE SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTHCARE SAFETY ASSOCIATION

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

A proclamation was presented but not submitted for the minutes

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN called up CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON and ASSISTANT CHIEF MIKE MYERS, of the Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department, to join him in recognizing the Southern Nevada Healthcare Safety Association for its critical participation in preparing the Las Vegas Valley for any emergency situation. They are an integral part of the City's emergency response capabilities and they continuously work with us to improve the readiness of the community. Most recently, they participated in the United States Department of Justice Domestic Preparedness Drill hosted by the City of Las Vegas at Cashman Center. The City is glad to have such a strong relationship with such outstanding organizations.

CHIEF WASHINGTON thanked the Mayor and Council. On behalf of the men and women that make up Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, he expressed utmost appreciation to the individuals of the Southern Nevada Healthcare Safety Association.

ASSISTANT CHIEF MIKE MYERS said that this is no small task and it proves once again that Las Vegas is a leader. He has traveled all over the country and talked to many individuals that work in hospitals and tried to convince them to cooperate and become part of homeland security. But it was a very easy task in Las Vegas. The hospitals came together with this very unique organization and set aside all proprietary interests with the goal of doing what is best for the entire community in terms of homeland security.

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Southern Nevada Healthcare Safety Association

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

He mentioned the following hospitals, from which representatives were present in the audience: Desert Springs Hospital, Lake Mead Hospital Medical Center, St. Rose Dominican, Sunrise Hospital Medical Center, Valley Hospital Medical Center, and University Medical Center. The following hospitals were also mentioned: St. George Dixie Regional Medical Center, Mountain View Hospital, and Sunrise Children's Hospital.

MAYOR GOODMAN presented a proclamation establishing 2/5/2003 as Southern Nevada Healthcare Safety Association Day. He sincerely thanked the Association for all its services to the community.

LINDA, whose last name was not given, said that the Association plans to continue to work with the City as partners in the community and to provide the highest level of safety, emergency preparedness, and awareness to the citizens of this great city.

(9:20 – 9:23)

**1-565**



# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of Dr. John V. Agapian (not scheduled on agenda)

## **MOTION:**

**None required.**

## **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN said that over the Christmas Holiday he was advised that a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) officer, ENRIQUE HERNANDEZ, was shot. He was in critical condition at University Medical Center. When he went to visit him at the hospital, he met the officer's doctor, DR. JOHN V. AGAPIAN. When he saw the officer, he bet to himself that OFFICER HERNANDEZ was not going to make it. But DR. AGAPIAN worked very arduously to save the life of OFFICER HERNANDEZ. In appreciation, MAYOR GOODMAN wholeheartedly thanked DR. AGAPIAN and presented him with a proclamation, a copy of which was not submitted for the minutes.

DR. AGAPIAN said that this honor was an early birthday present for him. When he saw the Mayor at the bedside of OFFICER HERNANDEZ, he was very inspired to reach deep inside and give everything he had to try to save the life of OFFICER HERNANDEZ. DR. AGAPIAN thanked the Intensive Care Unit team for their support at the trauma center.

(9:23 – 9:27)

**1-689**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF CHINESE NEW YEAR

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

A proclamation was presented but not submitted for the minutes

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD said that it was a great opportunity for all that morning to be able to bring in the Chinese New Year in style. As part of that celebration, she recognized the Chinese American Chamber of Commerce for its tremendous service to the entire Las Vegas community for almost a decade. The Chamber has been very instrumental in making change and creating opportunities for scholarships, a crisis fund, and the Las Vegas Chinese Business Director. And these are only a few of their accomplishments.

She then presented a proclamation declaring 2/5/2003 as Chinese American Chamber of Commerce Day to JAMES CHEN, Chairman of the Chinese American Chamber of Commerce, and then introduced Ms. Chinatown Las Vegas, CRYSTAL RAN, and First Princess, ACE CHIU.

MR. CHEN expressed his content at bringing in the Chinese New Year with the honor of being presented with a proclamation, for which he thanked the Councilmembers. He indicated that the local Chinese American merchants play an important part in accommodating tens of thousands of Chinese Americans that come to Las Vegas each year to celebrate the Chinese New Year Holiday. In order to promote tourism and local business opportunities, the Chinese American Chamber of Commerce has successfully organized many cultural activities in the past eight years, such as the Chinese Food Festival and the Ms. Chinatown Pageant. With the fast economic growth in China, Las Vegas will attract many more Chinese Tourists in the future. This will benefit the Casino industry and help the local Chinese business community to grow.

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of Chinese New Year

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

He reiterated his appreciation in recognizing this important holiday in Chinese culture. He invited the Councilmembers to the Chinese New Year celebration on Sunday at Chinatown Plaza. He wished everyone a prosperous new year.

(9:28 – 9:34)

**1-815**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Item 54 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:34)

**1-1019**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of January 8, 2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:34 – 9:35)

**1-1039**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Approval of a final Operating Agreement, as approved by the Bureau of Land Management, between the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada (YMCA) and the City of Las Vegas for the operation, management, and maintenance of the City's Durango Hills Community Center – Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 7, 2000, the City and the YMCA entered into an interim operating agreement for the management, operation and maintenance of the City-owned Durango Hills Community Center. Because the Center has been built on land owned by the Bureau of Land Management, the agreement required approval by this agency. The five-year operating agreement between the YMCA and the City of Las Vegas has been approved by the BLM and is now being presented to council for final approval.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend that the Council approve the operating agreement between the YMCA and the City of Las Vegas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

YMCA Southern Nevada Operating Agreement By and Between the City of Las Vegas and the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed the following: Item 36 involves a portion of Alexander Road, which is a secondary access for a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother Steven Mack and Items 38 and 39 both relate to Craig Road and Tenaya, which are access roads for Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law Andrew Donner. Since his relatives had not approached him and their properties would not be impacted, he would be voting on these items.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Consent - Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of a final Operating Agreement, as approved by the Bureau of Land Management, between the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada (YMCA) and the City of Las Vegas for the operation, management, and maintenance of the City's Durango Hills Community Center

**MOTION – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES****DIRECTOR: NIEL PEZZILLO**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of resident junior high and high school students to be nominated by the City of Las Vegas for the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities Youth Award Program - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Each year, the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities offers academic scholarships that recognize youth participation in community service. These scholarships, known collectively as the Youth Award Program, encourage young people to become involved in benefiting their communities as well as people residing within their communities. In order to be considered for the scholarship program, the students must be formally nominated by the member municipality that they represent.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval of the list of applicants and recommends forwarding their applications to the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities by February 14, 2003 for further consideration.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

List of Youth Award Program Applicants

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval for City Council to authorize officers of City Parkway IV and V, Inc. to enter into a Designated Services Agreement with RO Consulting, Inc. (\$140,000 - City Parkway IV and V, Inc.) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$140,000.00☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Parkway IV and V, Inc.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** City Parkway IV and V, Inc.**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to City Council direction received on January 8, 2003, City Parkway IV and V, Inc., will retain the services of RO Consulting, Inc. (President, Richard Oglesby) to act as Project Administrator for City Parkway Task Force to develop 61 acres located at 100 Grand Central Parkway.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval to authorize officers of City Parkway IV and V, Inc. to enter into contract with RO Consulting, Inc.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Designated Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT****DIRECTOR: MICHAEL SHELDON**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to accept grant funds from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) to complete the Video Teleconferencing (VTC) Technology Initiative

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has approved a Federal Grant, #2002CKWX0197, through the U.S. Department of Justice to the City of Las Vegas, Department of Detention and Enforcement, for establishing a Video Teleconferencing (VTC) connection with the Department of Immigration and Naturalization Services (INS). A total of \$88,317.00 is available for the funding of equipment for this project. There will be no fiscal impact to the City of Las Vegas. We are not required to obligate matching funds and no personnel costs will be incurred.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Notification of Award
2. Grant Application

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

1-1203

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$43,522,365.55

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** All Funds

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 01/01/03 - 01/15/03

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	11,268,844.41
Total Payroll Checks	\$	5,049,740.51
Total Wire Transfers	\$	27,203,780.63

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

# **AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a funding allocation, within the general ballfield lighting capital project, for a baseball field lighting project at Centennial High School, and authorization for the City Manager to execute the related Memorandum of Understanding with the Clark County School District (\$300,000 - Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$300,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Parks & Leisure Activities CPF

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

City Council authorized \$750,000 for ballfield lighting in the FY03 Budget. To date \$250,000 was provided to the Clark County School District for middle school sports and \$62,715 was transferred to the Hadland Park upgrade project, leaving a balance of \$437,285 in allocable ballfield lighting funds for FY03.

The MOU complies with the terms and conditions of the existing Open Schools/Open Doors Community Assessment Agreement, and as such will require the City to pay for related maintenance, repairs, and utility cost. Any capital funds not expended will revert back to the Ballfield Lighting fund balance.

Although Centennial High School is not within the City limits, the citizens of Las Vegas will benefit from the lighting of the ballfields.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of the funding allocation not to exceed \$300,000, and the authorization for the City Manager to execute the related MOU.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Memorandum of Understanding

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED to allocate up to \$300,000 of ballfield capital project funds for the baseball lighting project at Centennial High School, to approve the release of said funds to the Clark County School District pursuant to an agreed upon project development schedule and to authorize the City Manager to execute the related memorandum of understanding with the Clark County School District - UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: A previous motion, which carried unanimously, by BROWN for reconsideration rescinded the previous motion by Reese for approval of Consent Agenda Items 3-47, which carried unanimously with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Consent – Administrative

Item 8 - Approval of a funding allocation, within the general ballfield lighting capital project, for a baseball field lighting project at Centennial High School, and authorization for the City Manager to execute the related Memorandum of Understanding with the Clark County School District (\$300,000 - Parks & Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund)

**MOTION - Continued:**

of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that in last year's budget, the City allocated upwards of \$750,000 to pilot some programs with the Clark County School District that had been done in the County and the City of Henderson. This is the first time the City has ventured into such a project, and there has been some confusion between his and the City Manager's office because of lack of communication.

He felt that this is an opportunity for the City to increase its inventory of baseball fields, and hopefully softball fields in the future, without building brand new fields. The related MOU will allow the City to use the field when the High School does not need the fields. This type of agreement has worked well at Durango High School. To his understanding, the School District will be responsible for the construction, with an authorized signature will sign off those invoices that are addressed to MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services. The MOU will also allow the City, under the open door/open school policy, to look at ways to utilize this partnership on the baseball field and perhaps expand it to other available inventory at the high school. Other opportunities have come up with other high schools and middle schools, and the City is trying to partner with the School District to maximize the resources that exist for the greater public good.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that partnerships such as this one are all about benefiting the youth. However, he hopes that the School District understands and takes into consideration that the City of Las Vegas is one of those governmental entities that continues to provide good partnerships.

COUNCILMAN MACK agreed with the comments of COUNCILMEN BROWN and WEEKLY. He believes that this vision of the Council to partner with the School District is not only for the benefit of the students, but also for the citizens that will be able to use the fields. This partnership includes the public's use of the fields during non-school hours.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN stressed to staff to set down a good footprint on this transaction for the others to follow.

There was no further discussion.

(9:41 – 9:47)

1-1261

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) and other Clark County government entities to allocate \$0.0200 of property taxes for funding transportation projects in Clark County, including the administrative provisions for the collection, distribution, and management of the \$0.0200 of property taxes - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,120,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

By previous Interlocal Agreement, the entities had agreed to allocate the special NRS 354.59815 capital improvement tax rate of \$0.0500 to the RTC to fund transportation projects. Although that agreement expired due to related debt payoff, the RTC received voter approval of last November's advisory ballot question to fund additional transportation improvements. Included in that plan was the recommendation that each entity pledge the use of \$0.0200 of the \$0.0500 tax rate towards those transportation projects. The remaining \$0.0300 will be directed to each entity whose jurisdictional boundaries generated the tax for general capital project purposes.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada (YMCA) to provide funds for the design and construction of the Outdoor Aquatic Center at the Bennett YMCA (\$1.25 million - Parks and Leisure Services Capital Projects Fund ) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1.25 Million☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Leisure Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parks and Leisure Services CPF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The YMCA proposes to construct adjacent to the Bennett YMCA an Outdoor Aquatic Center which will be available to the general public and will benefit and serve the needs of the community residents. Through this MOU, the City proposes to provide \$1.25 million for the initial design work and construction of the Outdoor Aquatic Center with the overall cost estimated at \$3.5 million. The City's obligation to provide the funds shall be subject to a final agreement which will delineate the amount of funds and scope of construction.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of this MOU between the City of Las Vegas and YMCA.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Las Vegas and YMCA of Southern Nevada

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Aces Internacionales C B Radio Club, Location: 441 East Bonanza Road, Date: February 8, 2003, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine/Cooler, Event: Anniversary C B Radio Club, Responsible Person in Charge: Filiberto Rodriguez - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:**

**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-Off Sale Liquor License, Ray Koerntgen, dba From: Ramy's Deli & Mini Mart, To: Amy's Deli & Smoke Shop, 8524 West Sahara Ave., Raymond Koerntgen, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-Off Sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Crofts & Miller, Inc., dba Ice House Pub, 650 South Main Street, Janet P. Miller, Dir, Pres, 10%, John L. Crofts, Dir, Secy, Treas, 90% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, E-T-T, Inc., db at Terrible's #118, 3650 West Sahara Ave. - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Elie I. Tabachry, dba Angel Hair, 2131 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 5, Elie I. Tabachry, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47**, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 03.53541.30-LED, Contract 30 - PLC Replacement and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: GREAT SALT LAKE ELECTRIC, INC. (\$1,499,600 - Enterprise Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,499,600☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/WPCF☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The work under this project consists of the replacement of 18 existing TESCO Liquitronic V programmable logic controllers, control system modifications including installation of new PLC control panels, new control wiring and conduit, new network conduit and wiring, electrical demolition, recalibration of existing instruments, testing, identification and tagging of equipment, motor wiring and other related work.

POC: Joe Leverich - (702) 364-5313

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 03.53541.30-LED, Contract 30 - PLC Replacement to Great Salt Lake Electric, Inc. in the amount of \$1,499,600 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$224,940. Authority to execute Contract is given to the P&C Mgr per R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 030183-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Building Supplies - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: VARIOUS SUPPLIERS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$170,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$170,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations/Fac. Mgmt.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for miscellaneous building supplies used in the daily operations of Facilities Management to maintain City owned property.

POC: Mick McFarland, M & M Door - (702) 643-6700

POC: Christopher Coleman, Continental Flooring - (800) 825-1221

POC: Nicholas Spano, Austin Hardwoods - (702) 260-1333

POC: William Maine, Lowe's Hardware - (702) 804-0054

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030183-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Building Supplies to Various Suppliers for the period from date of award through February 29, 2004, with four (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$170,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of issuance of purchase order to continue inmate commissary operations for a two-year period including options to renew - Department of Detention & Enforcement - Award recommended to: KEEFE SUPPLY COMPANY (Estimated amount of \$720,000 - Special Revenue Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$720,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Detention & Enforcement☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 1/3/01, City Council awarded RFP #010007-RC, Professional Services Agreement to Operate the Inmate Commissary to Keefe Supply Co. through 12/31/02 w/ opts to renew for 3 add'l 2-yr. periods. Although funding of this operation is 100% reimbursable through inmate purchases, the original approval did not take into account the necessity of an initial outlay of funds until such time as the City collects funds from the Inmate Welfare Fund. This request will provide the means to make payment to the supplier for services rendered while reimbursement is pending.

POC: Mike Archer - (800) 890-520

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order to continue inmate commissary operations to Keefe Supply Company in the estimated amount of \$720,000 for the period of January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2004 including the option to exercise the two remaining two-year renewal periods.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on **Item 47**, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property

**Item 8: APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.08-RC, CMAQ Paving Project, Federal Project No. CM-003 (73) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: WELLS CARGO, INC. (\$175,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Wards 1, 3 & 5 (M. McDonald, Reese & Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$175,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On October 2, 2002, the City Council awarded Bid Number 01.1762.08-RC, CMAQ Paving Project, Federal Project No. CM-003 (73) in the amount of \$995,500 with a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$100,000. This request will allow for additional paving in selected unpaved roads and alleys. This request will raise the total contract amount to \$1,270,500.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve Contract Mod Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.08-RC, CMAQ Paving Project, Federal Project No. CM-003 (73) to Wells Cargo, Inc. in the amount of \$175,000. Authority to execute Mod on behalf of the City is given to the Field Operations Director per R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of awarding the \$1,000,000 HOME funds City Council allocated to support development of an assisted living facility to serve low income seniors to Affirmative/AHRC, a partnership of Affirmative Investments, Inc. and Affordable Housing Resource Council - Wards 2 and 6 (L.B. McDonald and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,000,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** HOME**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 15, 2002, Council approved allocating \$1,000,000 of HOME funds for the development of an affordable assisted living facility in Las Vegas. Through a Request for Application process, the Model Assisted Living Advisory Committee (MALAC), chaired by Assemblywoman Barbara Buckley, selected the Affirmative/AHRC Partnership as the successful applicant.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval contingent on the procurement of the BLM parcels and commitments of other identified funding sources and authorizes the Mayor to execute the Agreement once it is approved by the City Attorney.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Letter from Barbara Buckley dated January 10, 2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Business Impact Statement related to the adoption of a Fee Schedule for public improvement-related work governed by Las Vegas Municipal Code Title 13 and for temporary traffic control work governed by Las Vegas Municipal Code Chapter 11.50

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Bill No. 2003-10, which was introduced on January 22, will authorize the City Council to adopt a fee schedule for public works and other development-related improvements. The fee schedule, which will subsequently be brought to the Council for approval, proposes to adopt a number of fees and increase existing fees. Input regarding the proposed fees and increases has been sought and obtained from the development community as described in the business impact statement prepared in accordance with State law.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Business Impact Statement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Maintenance Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to allow the City to obtain necessary encroachment permits and right-of-way access for the purpose of repair and maintenance of the City's drainage facility located on the south side of Elkhorn Road at the Rainbow Boulevard alignment - Ward 6 (Mack) and County

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City's interim drainage facility encroaches upon and is located within the County's right-of-way on the south side of Elkhorn Road at the Rainbow Boulevard alignment. This agreement will allow the City to obtain an encroachment permit and right-of-way where necessary for the purpose of repair and maintenance of City's drainage facility.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Maintenance Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #362a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to extend the date of completion for the PM-10 Mitigation Paving Improvement Projects - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract applies to design and construction of paving of unpaved roads and shoulder stabilization in the Clark County PM-10 non-attainment area with the City. The date will be extended to December 31, 2006.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #362a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:**

**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 407a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to increase the cost of the contract for US-95/Horse Interchange (\$50,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$50,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 407a by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada applies to an increase in cost for US-95/Horse Interchange. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$300,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 407a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 409a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to decrease the cost of the contract for Grand Teton Overpass (-\$25,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:** -\$25,000

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 409a by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada applies to a decrease in cost for the Grand Teton Overpass. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$225,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 409a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 410a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to decrease the cost of the contract for Grand Canyon Overpass (-\$25,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada)  
- Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:** -\$25,000

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** RTC

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 410a by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada applies to a decrease in cost for the Grand Canyon Overpass. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$225,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract No. 410a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - John Frankfurter and Grace Frankfurter, owners (northeast of Alexander Road and Tee Pee Lane, APN 138-06-803-009) - County (near Ward 4 - Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect one single family dwelling located at the north east of Alexander Road and Tee Pee Lane. The owners propose to connect to the 12" sewer line in Alexander Road. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with HDR Engineering, Inc. for professional engineering services related to the design of the Tenaya Way Overpass at Summerlin Parkway (\$536,844.50 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Wards 2 and 4 (L. B. McDonald and Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$536,844.50

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** RTC

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City desires to design the Tenaya Way Overpass over Summerlin Parkway which will include improvements to Tenaya Way between Westcliff Drive and Washington Avenue. The cost associated with this agreement is \$536,844.50.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Engineering Design Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Request for Preliminary Proposals - Design Build of the Office District Parking Garage RPP No. 030219-LED on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street between Bonneville Avenue and Garces Avenue - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

CLV is proposing to have a design-build team design and construct a parking structure on 3rd Street between Bonneville Avenue & Garces Avenue using the procedures outlined in NRS 338.1711 through 338.1727 "Contracts Involving Design-Build Team. Staff requests approval of the Request for Preliminary Proposals prior to advertising this solicitation and enabling of the creation of an evaluation panel. Funding for this project was approved on October 16, 2002, Bill No. 2002-113, bonding issue. This project was approved for development by method of design-build contract on January 22, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approves the Request for Preliminary Proposals - Design Build of the Office District Parking Garage RPP No. 030219-LED and authorize staff to commence solicitation of design-build teams' preliminary proposals (qualifications).

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Request for Preliminary Proposals - Design Build of the Office District Parking Garage RPP No. 030219-LED.
2. Evaluation Panel

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:****APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Designated Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc. for Material Testing and Special Inspection Services of Field Operations Buildings - West Yard located at Cheyenne Avenue and Buffalo Drive (\$60,186 - Enterprise Fund/Capital Improvement Project Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$60,186

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund/CIP

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Material Testing and Special Inspections for the construction of the Field Operations Buildings - West Yard that include a new Administration Center Facility with offices for Sanitation, Streets, and Parks Divisions, Material Storage Waste Transfer Handling Building, Maintenance Building, and IT Contingency Room.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the negotiated Designated Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc. for Material Testing/Special Inspection Services of Field Operations Buildings - West Yard in the amount of \$60,186 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$6,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Designated Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Converse Consultants for material testing and construction inspection services on various projects (\$50,000 - City of Las Vegas Public Works Capital Improvement Project Fund/Regional Transportation Commission) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$50,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** CLV CIP Funds, RTC

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This agreement will allow Converse Consultants to perform on call material testing and construction inspection services on various capital improvement projects when City of Las Vegas staff lack necessary resources. Work will be performed as requested in writing by the City of Las Vegas.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Designated Services Agreement with Ninyo & Moore for the Geotechnical Evaluation and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment services for the Office District Parking Garage located on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street between Bonneville Avenue and Garces Avenue (\$58,500 - General Obligation Parking Bonds) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$58,500☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Obligation Parking Bonds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide geotechnical evaluation and a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment for a multi-story parking structure for the purpose of evaluation of subsurface soil conditions at the site and provide geotechnical recommendations for site grading and earthwork, structure foundations, pavement designs and to evaluate the subsurface conditions for potential environmental impacts.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the negotiated Designated Service Agreement with Ninyo & Moore for the Geotechnical Evaluation and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment for the Office District Parking Garage in the amount of \$58,500 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$25,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Designated Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-18-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the Director of Public Works to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) (\$124,122.91 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$124,122.91

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This resolution directs the Director of Public Works to prepare the Final Assessment Roll for the costs of street improvements and street beautification improvements (installation of 34-foot wide pavement section, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation) along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-18-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:**

**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-19-2003** - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the Final Assessment Roll will be heard for: Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) (\$124,122.91 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$124,122.91

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This resolution defines the time and place for a public hearing on the Final Assessment Roll for street improvements and street beautification improvements (installation of 34-foot wide pavement section, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation) along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-19-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-20-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Making Provisional Order and Directing that Notice of Public Hearing thereon be given regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1487 - Jones Boulevard (Beltway to Elkhorn Road) (\$803,944.47 Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$803,944.47

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals, and streetlights along City and County parcels. The County parcels are being included in the City's SID pursuant to the Interlocal approved at the 12/18/02 City Council meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-20-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-21-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the Hearing on the Provisional Order for Special Improvement District No. 1499 - Alexander Road (US-95 to Rancho Drive) (\$74,652.28 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$74,652.28☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-21-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed the following: Item 36 involves a portion of Alexander Road, which is a secondary access for a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother Steven Mack and Items 38 and 39 both relate to Craig Road and Tenaya, which are access roads for Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law Andrew Donner. Since his relatives had not approached him and their properties would not be impacted, he would be voting on these items.

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-22-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 28-I to Make Golf Cart Permissible Street Changes - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Schedule 28-I identifies golf cart permissible streets. Since the last update to this Schedule, more streets that allow golf carts have been added to the Summerlin area. The attached Resolution lists these additional streets and brings Schedule 28-I up to date.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-22-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on **Item 47**, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property

**Item 8: APPROVED** under separate action (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-23-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 29-II, Truck Routes, to Remove Alexander Road from Cimarron Road to Tenaya Way, Tenaya Way from Alexander Road to Craig Road, and Craig Road from Tenaya Way to US 95 - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald) **NOTE: The correct ward designation is Ward 4 (Brown)**

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic Engineering☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Since Rome Boulevard from US 95 to the gravel pits at Lone Mountain is now the designated Truck Route for the gravel pit traffic, Alexander Road from Cimarron Road to Tenaya Way, Tenaya Way from Alexander Road to Craig Road, and Craig Road from Tenaya Way to US 95 are no longer designated Truck Routes and need to be removed from the Truck Route Schedule.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-23-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed the following: Item 36 involves a portion of Alexander Road, which is a secondary access for a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother Steven Mack and Items 38 and 39 both relate to Craig Road and Tenaya, which are access roads for Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law Andrew Donner. Since his relatives had not approached him and their properties would not be impacted, he would be voting on these items.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Consent – Resolutions  
Item 38 – R-23-2003

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-24-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-VII, 25 MPH Truck Speed Limits, to Remove Alexander Road from Cimarron Road to Tenaya Way, Tenaya Way from Alexander Road to Craig Road, and Craig Road from Tenaya Way to US 95 - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald) **NOTE: The correct ward designation is Ward 4 (Brown)**

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Since Rome Boulevard from US 95 to the gravel pits at Lone Mountain is now the designated Truck Route for the gravel pit traffic, Alexander Road from Cimarron Road to Tenaya Way, Tenaya Way from Alexander Road to Craig Road, and Craig Road from Tenaya Way to US 95 need to be removed from the 25 MPH Truck Speed Limit Schedule.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval  
Staff: Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-24-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed the following: Item 36 involves a portion of Alexander Road, which is a secondary access for a SuperPawn shop owned by his brother Steven Mack and Items 38 and 39 both relate to Craig Road and Tenaya, which are access roads for Timbers Bar and Grill owned by his brother-in-law Andrew Donner. Since his relatives had not approached him and their properties would not be impacted, he would be voting on these items.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Consent – Resolutions  
Item 39 – R-24-2003

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-25-2003** - Approval of a Resolution concerning the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, Special Improvement District No. 809 (Summerlin Area) and the Development and Financing Agreement with the Howard Hughes Corporation - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This resolution authorizes of the Development and Financing Agreement with The Howard Hughes Corporation concerning Special Improvement District No. 809 (Summerlin Area). The resolution directs the City to take any action necessary for the acquisition of the improvements and for the completion of the district. The district is being created and financed pursuant to NRS 271, as well as the City of Las Vegas Developer Special Improvement District Guidelines.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the Council adopt this resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Resolution No. R-25-2003
2. Development and Financing Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to apply for a recreation and Public Purpose Lease from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for 5 acres of land commonly known as a portion of Parcel 163-09-201-002 located in the vicinity of Durango Drive and Eldora Avenue to be used as a Metro Substation (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - County (near Wards 1 and 2 - M. McDonald and L. B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$100 filing fee☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** PW Real Estate/Rental of Land**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Through a process of many meetings with Metro Facility Management and the Real Estate & Assets Division, Metro has identified this site as ideal for a future substation location to meet the growing demands to adequately service the area with police protection.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Application

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:                   APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement #108744 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for water service to Parcel Number 138-15-201-002 known as the future West Service Center Field Operations Center Buildings located in the vicinity of Peak Drive and Ronemus Drive (\$162,420 - Enterprise Fund/Capital Improvement Projects) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$162,420

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund CIP

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before LVVWD will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Interlocal Agreement #108744
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for a 750 square foot Easement to LVVWD to service a portion of Parcel Number 138-15-201-002 known as the future West Service Center Field Operations Center Buildings located in the vicinity of Peak Drive and Ronemus Drive - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of the West Service Center Field Operations Center Buildings. In order to have water service for the center site, the City is required to grant an Easement and Rights-of-Way to LVVWD to service the site and the water lines.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Easement and Rights of Way
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Consent - Real Estate

Item 43 – Approval of an Easement and Rights-of Way between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for a 750 square foot Easement to LVVWD to service a portion of Parcel Number 138-15-201-002 known as the future West Service Center Field Operations Center Buildings located in the vicinity of Peak Drive and Ronemus Drive

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with Woodside Homes to purchase land regarding laying a 96" diameter Effluent Interceptor and Pipe in conjunction with the City's Water Pollution Control Facility located at 6005 East Vegas Drive - County (near Ward 3 - Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The portion of the System Conveyance & Operations Program (SCOP) referred to as the Effluent Interceptor (EI) will collect treated effluent flows from CLV, CCSD & City of Henderson for conveyance to a facility referred to as the EI Terminus. The Terminus will be located upstream of Lake LV. The remaining portion of the SCOP is referred to as the Lake Conveyance System, to convey the combined effluent from the Terminus to an outfall in Lake Mead.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval to enter into negotiations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Effluent Interceptor Proposal

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:****APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with Paintball Adventure for a proposed outdoor paintball field operation to be located at the south west corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Tenaya Way - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This area is within the confines of the Gowan Detention Basin and Soccer Complex. Staff received an unsolicited letter of proposal from Paintball Adventure 11/31/02 expressing their desire to use the land in conjunction with the other outdoor sporting opportunities in this area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval to enter into negotiations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Unsolicited Paintball Adventure Letter
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a reallocation of \$24,000 from Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) closed/discontinued projects to purchase and install a modular trailer at 9th and Bridger to provide food storage space for the Jude 22 Senior Food Bank project currently located on the site - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$24,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Jude 22 is a non-profit agency which operates a food bank for seniors on city owned property at 9th & Bridger. The agency shares space in an 1,850 square foot building with another non-profit agency. The project has become so successful, they have outgrown the location & clients have to stand outside in the elements waiting for assistance. The purchase of a used modular trailer for food storage would free up enough space in the building to allow Jude 22 to provide clientele a waiting area inside the building until they are able to relocate to a larger location in the Downtown Senior Center.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and City Manager recommend approval of the reallocation of funding to purchase a used modular trailer and install it on the site.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8:****APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that the City Council approve each of those Items.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 46 – Approval of a reallocation of \$24,000 from Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) closed/discontinued projects to purchase and install a modular trailer at 9<sup>th</sup> and Bridger to provide food storage space for the Jude 22 Senior Food Bank project currently located on the site

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Duane Liddick for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-003 located at 1513 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 3 for \$65,000 plus closing costs - Special Revenue Fund - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$65,000 + closing costs☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** SRF (Special Revenue Fund)**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This parcel is a condo located in the vicinity of Laurelhurst Dr./Westmoreland Dr. Over 90% of the units in this area are vacant & in disrepair, creating a safety & health problem to the area. Because a majority of the units are owned by one owner, it has made it impossible for a credible condo assoc. of all of the owners in the area to organize, thus most of the properties are in disrepair as well & subject to many building code violations. CLV wishes to purchase this property, along with 41 other individually-owned units in an effort to clean & revitalize the area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the purchase of this property, and authorization of staff to execute all the additional documents necessary to close escrow and record title

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agreement for Purchase of Real Property
2. Counter Offer

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 through 47** (Note: Item 8 was subsequently recalled for reconsideration.) – **UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 47, as it involves the purchase by the City of Las Vegas of real estate located near the property owned by his brother Steven Mack and the scope of that project could significantly affect his brother's property**

**Item 8: APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

item 47 – Approval of a Purchase Contract between Priority One Commercial (on behalf of the City of Las Vegas) and Duane Liddick for real property known as Parcel Number 138-25-515-003 located at 1513 Laurelhurst Drive Unit 3 for \$65,000 plus closing costs

**MOTION – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK reported as the Chair of the Real Estate Committee that the Committee had met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and the Committee joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

**MINUTES:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:41)

**1-1203**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only, no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required. A report was given.

**MINUTES:**

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY reported that the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) was planning to drop the Decatur Bridge that weekend. Consequently, Decatur and US-95 between Jones and Valley View would be closed Friday, February 7, at 10:00 p.m. through the morning of Monday, February 10. Since the press release did not mention anything about restoring travel on Decatur, Public Works staff investigated the matter and the construction of bridge will now be reconfigured in order to handle traffic until the old bridge is replaced. COUNCILMAN McDONALD said that the last time the bridge was dropped, there was major chaos in the neighborhood. He questioned whether there is any intention to use Highway Patrol. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY assured COUNCILMAN McDONALD that he would ask Public Works staff to confer with NDOT and make sure that there is proper notification.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD gave the following directions to CITY MANAGER SELBY: 1) that proper notification be provided about the demolition of the Decatur Bridge; 2) that the Morans be kept apprised of the status of the parking garage being built adjacent to their property; and 3) that he look into condensing all the City's publications in order to save money, or possibly doing away with the newsletter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Administrative

Item 48 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD also stressed that he would like to confer with DEPUTY CITY MANAGER and his colleagues about a solution to the flow of water coming from an office complex in the Palomino neighborhood that is damaging a road. The Council approved the complex in 1995.

(9:36 – 9:41)

**1-1111**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report on Meadows Village Task Force by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police were unable participate in the December 4 Council meeting where updates on the Meadows Village Task Force were presented. As a follow-up to that report, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police will present their findings on crime enforcement efforts in this area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only, no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted after the meeting: report on calls for service from Captain Moody

**MOTION:****None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL introduced CAPTAIN TED MOODY, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), who gave a brief presentation regarding the efforts on Meadows Village and other downtown-related issues.

CAPTAIN MOODY thanked the Council for allowing him to make a presentation, as well as for the recognition of SERGEANT ERICK FRICKER that morning for the fine work he has accomplished, most of which would not have been possible without the equally fine and dedicated employees of the City of Las Vegas.

Referring to the report on calls for service, CAPTAIN MOODY detailed the crime enforcement efforts in Meadows Village and went over the findings. Specifically, Sector Beat Charlie 2, which encompasses the Meadows Village area, by far has more activity in terms of calls for service when compared with activity elsewhere in his area of command. The peak in calls for service in Charlie 2 occurred in 1996, fell off significantly between 1996 and 2000, with a very mild increase in the past two years. The graph numbers at the top represent the actual events, indicating that the number of robberies remain the same, grand larceny of autos seem to be declining, and assaults and batteries are almost the same in comparing year 2001 and 2002. The last graph pertains only to Meadows

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

## Administrative

## Item 49 – Report on Meadows Village Task Force by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Village, and it is significant because it demonstrates a reduction in narcotics activity for 2002 compared to 2001. Disturbances in general have fallen off and self-initiated police activity is up slightly.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned how calls for service are defined. Are calls made part of the statistics when they take place, or only after Metro responds? CAPTAIN MOODY answered that most of the statistics in the report are derived from the dispatch system. When a call is made to Metro, it is recorded as such. The last paragraph in the report refers to calls for service that resulted in an arrest or a crime report.

CAPTAIN MOODY continued and spoke about current crime prevention efforts in Meadows Village. HART (Hispanic American Resource Team) is a team made of Hispanic-speaking officers who reach out to the Hispanic community, particularly those residents that are non-English speaking. HART will be increasing its presence in activities in Meadows Village, because most of the residents are Hispanic. They will be conducting their second Tuesday meetings in the Stupak Center. He is also looking into having the HART officers at Meadows Village for set hours once or twice a week so that any residents with concerns can approach the officers without having to go to the police station. A routine presence of police officers may stimulate communication between the residents and the police. Horse patrol was also increased in Meadows Village, and the residents really seem to like it. The \$5,000 in Weed and Seed funds will be used to increase foot patrols and bike patrol in Meadows Village.

Most important throughout this process, is the partnership between the City and Metro that is designed to address as they occur throughout the Downtown Area Command. Meadows Village is not the only area of concern, but he envisions the Task Force to really assist in identifying and prioritizing the problems within the Downtown Area Command in order to take the correct approach. He is aware of the City's desire to expand the Task Force throughout the City, and he hopes that this group will serve as a model.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked CAPTAIN MOODY for his promptness in addressing the issue in Meadows Village. But in order for Metro to continue to do a good job, the City has to support an initiative for more officers. The City has been successful in reducing crime in Meadows Village by enforcing all the laws on the books, including zoning and fire and holding property owners responsible.

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street, said that both Metro and the City have done their part. But the problems continue because of repeat offenders, which should be put in jail. Unfortunately, the State does not have the money to keep them in prison. Until the State can address that, the problem will continue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Administrative

Item 49 – Report on Meadows Village Task Force by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, welcomed CAPTAIN MOODY as the new commander of the Downtown Area Command. He then asked how many offenders involved in drug and vice crimes have been arrested to date as a result of the creation of the meadows Village Task Force. CAPTAIN MOODY said that he did not have the statistics with him, but offered to submit those later.

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, clarified that the Task Force meetings are held the first Tuesday of the month. COUNCILMAN REESE pointed out that the HEART meetings are held the second Tuesday of the month. He then noted that the statistics of calls for service might be wrong because there are still a significant number of burglaries and crime related activities still taking place in his neighborhood even though they make a lot of calls. He reminded CAPTAIN MOODY that there are other areas besides Meadows Village in the City of Las Vegas that have crime problems. He suggested an officer be assigned to the area before the crime gets out of hand again.

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked CAPTAIN MOODY for his report.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed to DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL that it is important to continue to include the property owners and have them present at the meetings.

There was no further discussion.

(9:47 – 10:04)

**1-1491**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Report and possible action on the interim solution for veterans' services by the Department of Veterans Affairs

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In September 2002, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) broke its \$2 million-a-year lease on the 7-year old VA Ambulatory Care Clinic located at 1700 Vegas Drive, near the intersection of Martin Luther King Blvd. Following a long dispute regarding the building's structural soundness and contractual issues, the veterans' clinic will be vacated in February. A decision was made by the VA in October 2002 to disperse veterans' services to multiple locations throughout the valley. Once the contracts are final, the VA will begin strategizing for a long-term solution, which they project will be completed within three years.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council accept the report and direct staff accordingly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: folder with information about the VA Southern Nevada Healthcare System from John E. Hempel

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT the report – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

BETSY FRETWELL, Deputy City Manager

JOHN E. HEMPEL, Veterans Administration

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village resident

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Administrative

Item 50 – Report and possible action on the interim solution for veterans' services by the Department of Veterans Affairs

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the City needs to consider including very strong reversionary language in whatever real estate contract is negotiated with the VA and any public or private entity. Because when the contract with the VA was negotiated, the City was very generous in lowering the cost of the property to make that the preferred site in Ward 5 for the VA.

(10:04 – 10:37)

**1-2189**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on the outcome of the 2002 federal legislative efforts and on the 2003 Federal Legislative Plan and Priorities

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last year the Council approved a Federal Legislative Agenda that outlined the City's priority areas. Those priority areas were appropriation requests, grants high priority issues and legislation. Staff will report on the City's success in those areas, as well as provide an outline of the 2003 Federal Legislative Agenda.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council accept the reports and approve the 2003 Federal Action Plan.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

2003 Federal Legislative Agenda

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion directing staff to put the plan into perspective and move forward quickly – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL reported that the City was very successful at the last legislative session in advocating various appropriation priorities. The City was included in the Senate's omnibus bill for funding in the amount of \$4.5 million for the multi-modal center, which will be a great benefit to the downtown area. In addition, the City received \$300,000 for sewer infrastructure rehabilitation for older communities. The City also received a \$500,000 allocation to facilitate a pedestrian connection between the 61 acres and Downtown Las Vegas, particularly once the monorail is constructed. She then discussed the recommended appropriation priorities in this year's Federal Action Plan, as indicated in the backup documentation.

There are several other legislative priorities, such as the TEA-21 and the Homeland Security bills presently moving through Congress, and a variety of other issues that are anticipated to come up this year in addition to the prior direction with regard to federal programs that are significant for the local constituents. Staff needs direction from the Council to pursue direct appropriations to large cities and metropolitan areas for public safety.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Administrative

Item 51 – Report and possible action on the outcome of the 2002 federal legislative efforts and on the 2003 Federal Legislative Plan and Priorities

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD mentioned that one of the initiatives pertains to senior assisted living facilities. She called up SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, to commend her on the approval of the City's first affordable assisted living facility. This is a first pilot program that will hopefully show a pattern of success in order to continue to pursue the resources to expand. With the ever increasing senior population, affordability is often a big barrier to many in being able to access assisted living.

MS. SEGERBLOM added that the partnership is between Harrah's Hotel and Casino, the State of Nevada, and the City of Las Vegas. ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARBARA BUCKLEY previously worked with the Council and SENATOR HARRY REID on the bill. The location of the assisted living facility will be located in Ward 2. She noted that there are many assisted living facilities in the community, but only for the affluent.

(10:37 – 10:47)

**2-141**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action regarding current City of Las Vegas ordinances and policies concerning regulation of basketball hoops in the Right of Way (ROW)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Inform Mayor and Council of current City of Las Vegas procedures, policies and enforcement abilities concerning basketball hoops in ROW.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Accept report and direct staff accordingly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: Basketball Hoops Flow Chart and a letter addressed to Mr. and Mrs. Scirone from Attorney Michelle D. Briggs, Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Johnson, and Thompson

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – Motion to ACCEPT the report and to end the moratorium – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

BETSY FRETWELL, Deputy City Manager

DAVE SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

TERI JANNISON

JOE MAVIGLIA, 1695 Fair Haven

SHANNON MERICH, 2105 Jade Leaf Court

SHELLY SARONI, 1704 Pinyon Mesa Court

CLAYTON NEWBURN, 520 Painted Cloud

DARYL MARTIN, Attorney, 7440 W. Sahara Avenue

RANDY ACKLIN, 9911 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 103

(10:47 – 11:15)

**2-452**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE****DIRECTOR: STEVEN P. HOUCHENS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action regarding relocation of Choices Group, Inc., currently located at 800 Valley View Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** Not determined☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 08/02/01, a business license was issued to Choices Group, Inc., at 800 Valley View Boulevard. Choices Group, Inc., is service that provides court-ordered drug testing and rehabilitation for its customers. On 07/03/02, the City Manager was directed to review this situation and to find possible alternatives to their current location. Accordingly, staff will present a status report and request further direction from the Council.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Receive the report and direct staff as deemed appropriate

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted after the meeting: copy of packet of documentation from Councilman McDonald; also, a chart was displayed but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to look into this matter further in an attempt to find the responsible person, directing City Manager Selby to contact Sheriff Young to conduct a full investigation – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

AL GALLEG0, Las Vegas citizen

STEPHANIE STACY COOPER, Attorney

JOE MAVIGLIA

TOM McGOWAN

(11:15 – 11:40)

**2-1447**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Theresa Louise Muaina, 2705 Yardley Way, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Item 54 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:34)

**1-1019**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Triple A Grocers, Inc., dba Liborio Markets, 930 North Lamb Blvd., Enrique J. Alejo, Dir, Pres, 17%, Enrique M. Alejo, Dir, VP, 17%, John Alejo, Dir, VP, Secy, 17%, Antonio C. Alamo, Dir, VP, 24.5%, Antonio T. Alamo, Treas, 24.5% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from John Moran, III, Esq.
3. Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVAL of the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY JOHN MORAN III was present, accompanied by the applicants.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, said that the applicant met the criteria for the Council to consider a temporary license approval. He recommended approval, subject to granting him authority to issue the permanent license when all the conditions are met.

MR. MORAN thanked MR. DiFIORE, his staff, COUNCILMAN REESE and his liaisons for all their assistance in this matter. He noted that this is a Class A project.

MR. ALAMO thanked the Council for its consideration, in particular to COUNCILMAN REESE and his staff who were very instrumental in this endeavor.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 55 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Triple A Grocers, Inc., dba Liborio Markets, 930 North Lamb Blvd., Enrique J. Alejo, Dir, Pres, 17%, Enrique M. Alejo, Dir, VP, 17%, John Alejo, Dir, VP, Secy, 17%, Antonio C. Alamo, Dir, VP, 24.5%, Antonio T. Alamo, Treas, 24.5%

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. ALAMO and stated that this market is state-of-the-art with its own butcher shop. He encouraged the residents in the area that they welcome this store, which he feels sure is going to be a great success.

There was no further discussion.

(11:40 – 11:44)

**2-2477**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Elavie, Inc., dba Elavie (Non-operational), Stavros Kritikos, Dir, 66 2/3%, Nicoletta Messologitis, Dir, Pres, 16 2/3%, Yannis Kontizas, Dir, Treas, 16 2/3%, To: Wild Sage II, LLC, dba Wild Sage, 8991 West Sahara Ave., Laurie J. Kendrick, Mmbr, Mgr, 45.25%, Stanley A. Carroll, Mmbr, 45.25% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Supper Club Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Laurie Kendrick

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVAL of the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LAURIE J. KENDRICK and STANLEY A. CARROLL, 1340 Finale Lane, were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, said that the applicant met the criteria for the Council to consider a temporary license approval. He recommended approval, subject to granting him authority to issue the permanent license when all the conditions are met. MS. KENDRICK and MR. CARROLL said that they are looking forward to being successful business members of the City of Las Vegas.

There was no further discussion.

(11:44 – 11:45)

**2-2671**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, S & L Black Belt, Inc., dba S & L Black Belt, 8400 Farm Road, Suite 140, Alan W. Schrimpf, Dir, Secy, 50%, Robert T. Labrum, Dir, Pres, 25%, Robert L. Labrum, Dir, Treas, 25% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Alan Schrimpf
3. Map

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVAL of the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, said that the applicant met the criteria for the Council to consider a temporary license approval. He recommended approval, subject to granting him authority to issue the permanent license when all the conditions are met.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended MR. SCHRIMPF and MR. LABRUM for doing a wonderful job with these studios. He wished them well.

There was no further discussion.

(11:45 – 11:46)

**2-2750**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Xiao P. Wang, LLC, dba Silk Road Massage Center, 2121 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 2, Xiao P. W. Cassidy, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Xiao Cassidy
3. Map

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVAL of the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because of his relationship with the applicant**

**MINUTES:**

XIAO P. W. CASSIDY and WILLIAM CASSIDY were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that he met with MRS. CASSIDY regarding some of the issues and concerns with the massage establishment industry. He noted that there have been no concerns with the facility she opened in 2001. He recommended approval of the temporary license, granting him the authority to issue the permanent license should a favorable police report be submitted and subject to all the conditions being met.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 58 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Xiao P. Wang, LLC, dba Silk Road Massage Center, 2121 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 2, Xiao P. W. Cassidy, Mmbr, 100%

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN REESE asked MRS. CASSIDY if she understood the conditions. COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that he spoke with MR. and MRS. CASSIDY and they fully understand the conditions.

There was no further discussion.

(11:46 – 11:50)

**2-2804**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA P. JACKSON, DPA** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on naming a park and a baseball complex located at Bonanza Road and Sandhill Road - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - On December 11, 2002, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Gray Wolf Park for the park site currently known as Sandhill-Bonanza site located at Bonanza Road and Sandhill Road. The commission also recommended the baseball fields at the Bonanza site be named Mike Morgan Baseball Complex. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the names to City Council

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 2/19/2003 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, was present.

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that the matter be held in abeyance again to give him an opportunity to speak with MIKE MORGAN.

There was no further discussion.

(11:50)

**2-2987**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-26-2003** – Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution Providing for a Judicial Selection Committee

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Resolution will provide for the establishment of a judicial selection committee. The committee will be responsible for providing recommendations to the City Council regarding the appointment of judges to fill any vacancy on the Municipal Court before the expiration of a term. The committee will include citizen representation as well as representation from the legal community.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution and authorize its execution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-26-2003

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD explained that this matter formalizes her idea to adopt a resolution that would formalize the process for appointing a judge to Municipal Court whenever there is a vacancy. A judicial selection committee would be created to screen the applicants and make recommendations to the City Council after reviewing those applicants. The terms of offices would run coterminous with the Mayor. She recommended approval of the resolution. She encouraged citizens who might want to serve on this board to forward an interest form to the City Clerk.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned who would be responsible for getting the notices out to the Boyd School of Law. CITY MANAGER SELBY answered that it would be handled through the City Clerk's office.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Resolutions

Item 60 – R-26-2003

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed CITY CLERK RONEMUS to contact the Clark County Bar Association and the William S. Boyd School of Law for membership referrals to the Council in order to approve the formation of the Judicial Selection Committee at a future Council meeting. CITY MANAGER SELBY indicated that it would be acted on expeditiously.

There was no further discussion.

(11:50 – 11:53)

**2-3027**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-27-2003** - Public hearing and possible action regarding a Resolution supporting the intent of the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District to seek Debt Management Commission approval and voter approval of a proposal to issue general obligations and levy a special elective tax for library purposes - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 379.0225 requires the Las Vegas City Council and the Board of County Commissioners hold public hearings before the Library Trustees may propose the issuance of bonds. The bonds, if approved by the voters within the Library District, would be direct and general obligations of the Library District, backed by their full faith and credit. The bonds would be repaid from property taxes within the Library District (averaging \$0.0068). Additionally, the ballot question will include a \$0.0210 property tax levy for operating purposes. Due to scheduled retirements of existing debt as well as other factors, the resulting net increase in the current combined tax rate will not exceed \$0.0234, and therefore the proposed taxes will not cause the City's overlapping tax rate to exceed the 90 percent of statutory limit of \$3.64.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

None

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-27-2003

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED to forward to Debt Management Commission and then to the voters  
– Motion carried with L.B. McDONALD voting NO**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if he could vote on this matter, given that ELAINE SANCHEZ, Public Information Officer, is a member of the Library Board and she deals in large part with the Mayor's office on public information matters. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that both RICKI BARLOW, Council Liaison for Ward 5, and ELAINE SANCHEZ hold positions of authority on the Library Board. The City Attorney's office has reviewed this issue in the past and has opined that because the Library Board and the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Resolutions

Item 61 – R-27-2003

**MOTION – Continued:**

City Council exist to serve the public any interests are harmonious and not incompatible. Also, MR. BARLOW and MS. SANCHEZ have been instructed to not discuss Library Board business with the Council or City staff to avoid any appearance of impropriety. He advised that there is no conflict of interest in this case, but disclosure that there is an employment relationship is necessary.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the matter was scheduled at the request of the Library District. He reminded the Council that Nevada Revised Statutes require that both the County Commission and the City Council call a public hearing and pass resolutions supporting this initiative for the Library District to be able to have it considered by the Debt Management Commission. If placed on the ballot, there would be a slight increase to the citizens of the City of Las Vegas with the overlapping tax rate.

RICKI BARLOW, Chairman, Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board of Trustees, stated that some of the members had to leave, but still remaining in the audience were Andrea Arthurholtz, Luis Overstreet, Tim Wong, and Doris Masek in support of the bond, as well as Dan Walters, Executive Director, Las Vegas-Clark County Library District.

MR. BARLOW indicated that the purpose of this item is to update the Council on some of the current challenges of the Library District. The Las Vegas Valley has been growing at a very fast pace which carries growing pains, specifically in the outlying areas and in East Las Vegas.

MR. WALTERS agreed with CHAIRMAN BARLOW's comment that Las Vegas growth is unlike any other community in the country. Last year the local population had grown 132% from the time the \$1.5 million bond issue was passed. If the proposed bond issue is approved by the voters, more libraries would open as early as 2006, including two in the City, but it is estimated that by that time the population will be 1.7 million.

Since 1991 many services have been added, such as new schools, but the Library District is behind the curve. The proposal includes building four new libraries as well as an online library center to backup the entire library system and to extend digital services. The proposal is based upon extensive planning and is essentially a re-submittal of the proposal presented two years ago. At that time the Library District indicated that conservatively ten-year planning required an investment in excess of \$75 million for six new facilities. But the Library District had to come to grips and hence it was scaled down to four libraries.



## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

## Resolutions

## Item 61 – R-27-2003

**MINUTES – Continued:**

The proposal is based upon the Library District's work with several municipalities. He indicated that he has met with COUNCILMEN REESE and MACK about improvements that are needed in Wards 3 and 6 because of the open infill and the staggering growth. In response to COUNCILMAN MACK's concerns, the District negotiated an agreement last year to place a library at the Centennial Hills site, pending approval for funding by the voters. Sites have also been identified and renegotiated for East Las Vegas, Mesquite, and the southwest area of town, therefore none of the bond money will be spent on property acquisition.

He noted that this bond proposal is not just about the construction of new libraries, but also about reinvestment in old libraries. Early polling data indicates that residents are in support of paying an additional \$10 per \$100,000 to construct new libraries. Referring to the computer slide outlining the use of libraries, MR. WALTERS indicated that the staggering growth is due in part because of the new board directing the new Library District administration to build its services based upon what the community feels should be provided, such as large print books, bestsellers, materials to support students, and GED testing information. He went over the remainder of the PowerPoint Presentation and commented that the American public libraries reach all aspects of communities. He urged the Council's support in letting the voters decide how important the new library services are.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. WALTERS for meeting with him and his staff and helping him to reach his goals for Ward 3. He encouraged people with questions on this proposal to call the Library District and to please support the bond issue. In his opinion, it is a very nominal cost for a great library facility in Ward 3.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. BARLOW, MS. SANCHEZ, and all of the Library Board members, as well as MR. WALTERS for meeting with him and COUNCILMAN REESE regarding the needs of their respective wards. COUNCILMAN MACK said that he always supports public safety initiatives, and he considers this to be just that, because places for children to go would be provided. The site for the new library in Ward 6 is ideal because of the other adjacent amenities. He hopes that the residents of Ward 6 will enthusiastically support this initiative.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD said that even though she has no argument with the need for new libraries, she is concerned about the proposition because the City is uncertain as to the actions this legislature will take on the \$3.64 tax rate. She is already aware of three to five bill drafts on it. While in today's world the City's tax rate may be below the statutory limit, the future is uncertain given all the potential tax implications. She strongly felt that it would be better to wait until the conclusion of this legislative session in order to make a decision. Additionally, placing the question on the June ballot would be a bad move and essentially setting it up for failure. She noted that her constituents have already stressed to her that they do not want any tax hikes. She emphasized that she could not support this bond initiative at this time.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Resolutions

Item 61 – R-27-2003

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. WALTERS pointed out that education issues do very well in spring elections. And while it is unknown what actions the Legislature will take, currently the Library Board wrestles with the reality that local residents do not have equitable access to library services. More important for the Board is that it recognizes that not going forward now will mean not being able to provide services for another two years, and it is certain that the cost of the issue will increase another \$2 million. He noted that the Board is not asking the Council to approve the initiative, but rather to let the voters decide whether the issue is important enough for their quality of life.

As a past member of the Library Board, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that he understands the Library's goals and plans, and he too understands the concerns for the lack of library services. He agreed with MR. WALTERS that the decision should be left to the voters.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, commented that libraries are extremely important to the educational process. A person can become educated on any topic in the world in a library. He urged the Council to approve the resolution in the best interest of the residents.

JOE MAVIGLIA, 1695 Fair Haven, said that when he decided to get into the job training business, he decided that today's technology had to be made available in libraries, Boys and Girls Clubs, churches, and anywhere children could access self-teaching systems that will allow everybody the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Many trades could be taught at a library through videotapes.

STEFAN RILEY, 7000 Steeple Court, felt eager at the prospects of having a library in the Centennial Hills area. The residents of Centennial Hills are very grateful to COUNCILMAN MACK for steering the library to that site. He urged the Council to send the question to the voters, because he is confident the voters will feel that this is a worthwhile endeavor. He does not want to wait until his children are adults to have a library in his neighborhood. He posed the question: Can the community afford to wait any longer?

MOISES DENNIS, former Library Board Trustee, appeared as a father and urged the Council to forward this urgent matter to the voters. He noted that even though there is no more land for East Las Vegas to grow, the schools are getting fuller and fuller.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he is tired of reading that Las Vegas has every social ill. In his opinion, children are the future and they have to be literate; therefore, they have to be provided with that opportunity. Seniors are also entitled to have a facility they can frequent that makes them feel that life is worthwhile. He agrees with his wife that people can do anything if they know how to read.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(11:53 – 12:29)

**2-3162/3-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Thomas Pfundstein, Term Expiration 12-11-2002 (Resigned)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The term of office for Thomas Pfundstein expired December 11, 2002. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. Mr. Pfundstein has resigned. At the Council Meeting of January 22, 2003, this item was abeyed to February 5, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Options are:  
Appoint a new member to fill Mr. Pfundstein's seat

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services (RE: Reappointments)
2. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services (RE: Resignation) and Resignation Letter from Mr. Pfundstein
3. Current Listing and Authority-Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
4. Board Interest Forms – Derick Wickliffe, Fred L. Rauf

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to appoint DAVE CASALEGGIO (M. McDonald's recommendation), 352 Mallard Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to notify

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:29)

**3-899**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Charles D. Musser, Term Expiration 2-20-2003

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The term of office for Charles D. Musser will expire February 20, 2003. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. Mr. Musser is eligible and wishes to be reappointed. At the Council Meeting of January 22, 2003, this item was abeyed to February 5, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Options are:  
Appoint a new member or Reappoint Mr. Musser to this seat

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services
  2. Current Listing and Authority-Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
  3. Board Interest Forms – Derick Wickliffe, Fred L. Rauf
- Submitted after Final Agenda: Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson re: candidates – Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 2/19/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that he would like an opportunity to speak with MR. MUSSER.

There was no further discussion.

(12:29 – 12:30  
**3-914**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION – Cathy Kelly, Term Expiration 3/6/2003; Janet Ruth White, Term Expiration 3/6/2003; Jerome Helton, Term Expiration 3/8/2003

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Historic Preservation Commission is comprised of members filling nine different categories. Ms. Kelly represents Category 5, a “Representative of a Recognized Local Historic Preservation Association or Historic Preservation Interest Group” member. Ms. Kelly has served the maximum number of terms and is therefore not eligible for reappointment. Ms. White represents Category 7, a “Citizens At Large” member and she is eligible for reappointment. Mr. Helton serves in Category 4, an “Experienced in Real Estate Profession” member and he does not wish to be reappointed.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Commission requires appointment by the City Council. Options are:  
Appoint a new member to fill Ms. Kelly’s seat, Category 5  
Appoint a new member or Reappoint Ms. White, Category 7, to this seat  
Appoint a new member to fill Mr. Helton’s seat, Category 4

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Ms. Logan, Planning & Development with Commission recommendations
2. Current listing and Authority-Historic Preservation Committee

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to REAPPOINT Ruth White (Reese’s recommendation) – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to notify

**and**

**GOODMAN – Motion to Hold in ABEYANCE the seats for MS. KELLY and MR. HELTON to 2/19/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Boards and Commissions  
Item 64 – Historic Preservation Commission

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:30 – 12:31  
3-931

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS &amp; COMMISSIONS:

Appointment and Reappointment of Members to the Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program Grant Review Board was established by Council action on March 1, 2000. It is a service-learning program encouraging young people to take an active role in their neighborhood. The Board consists of 15 members, eight adults and seven youth. Terms of office are one year and members may be reappointed to consecutive one-year terms. Expiration of this Board occurred yearly on January 21. Members appointed at this meeting will serve from February 5, 2003 to January 21, 2004

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board is appointment by the City Council. The Department of Neighborhood Services recommends the following appointments and reappointments: **Appointments:** (Adult Representatives) Katrina Manzi, Lisa Clearwater-Campbell, Darcy Hayes; (Youth Representatives) Megan Lee, Yolanda Ordiway, Alexis Kourafas, Jacqueline Waite, Henry Jimenez, Rosaura Gonzalez. **Reappointments:** (Adult Representatives) Minerva Gomez, Loretta Arrington, Katherine Martin, Greg Toussaint, Kelly Benavidez; (Youth Representative) Detrick Sanford

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo explaining purpose of board and proposed appointments and their categories
2. Current Listing and Authority – Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** staff's recommended appointments and reappointments as listed – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

Clerk to notify

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:31 – 12:32)

3-984

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER, DIRECTOR** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly**

Discussion and possible action regarding a Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and RLT Corporation for the sale of a 1.1-acre parcel located at the southwestern corner of Wheeler Peak and Martin Luther King Boulevard in the Enterprise Park to develop a 10,000 square foot corporate training center in two phases (Gain of \$258,595 - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** Gain of \$258,595☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Economic Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

RLT Corporation will purchase a 1.1-acre site for the purpose of constructing a Corporate Headquarters and Training Center in two phases. Phase 1 will comprise a 6,000 square foot office building. Phase 2 will comprise a 4,000 square foot office building. The Center will support RLT Corporation's training and administrative functions in its McDonald's franchise operations, including maintaining a staff of approximately 850 employees for 22 area restaurants. The City is requiring an earnest money deposit of \$10,000, and a performance security deposit of \$10,000 to be held in escrow until the completion of Phase 2.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 2/3/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Purchase and Sale Agreement
3. Disclosure of Principals
4. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as amended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Business Development, reported that the project will now be constructed in one phase instead of two, increasing the square footage to 14,128. Referring to the document on the overhead, she described the location. The site has access to Wheeler Peak as well as Martin Luther



## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

## Real Estate

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action regarding a Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and RLT Corporation for the sale of a 1.1-acre parcel located at the southwestern corner of Wheeler Peak and Martin Luther King Boulevard in the Enterprise Park to develop a 10,000 square foot corporate training center in two phases (Gain of \$258,595 - Industrial Revenue Fund)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

King Boulevard, with the VA Ambulatory Care Facility directly to the south and southwest and the post office to the north. This project will generate \$259,000 for the Industrial Revenue Fund. The proposed facility is for a franchisee that will conduct training for all of the McDonald's employees, which is a total of about 850 with an approximate 1.5 turnover ratio. This means that they will be employing almost 1,200 employees per year. In accordance with the Employment Plan, which is part of this Agreement, the Corporation will be hiring from the adjacent community.

MS. CODER noted that because of the proposed increases in square footage, the agreement should be amended to increase the square footage on Page 2, Paragraph 5-B and Subsection 5-C, from 6,000 to 14,000, delete Section 5-E on Page 3, delete Section 7-B on Page 4, delete Paragraph 3 of Subsection 9-E on Page 6, and modify Section 18, Line 2 on Page 9 to read: the office facilities containing 14,000 square feet of office space. Lastly, she presented the building elevations and mentioned that the structure will blend into the adjacent community quite well. She recommended approval.

TOM ARLD, 1721 Banny, commented that construction of the training center will make things easier for his company.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that a meeting was held previously regarding another business that is looking at building at the Enterprise Park, which will really complement this project.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that the City takes two steps forward with projects such as this one, and then steps back with business, such as the VA clinic, leaving the area. He expressed his appreciation to MR. ARLD for not abandoning the residents of Ward 5.

There was no further discussion.

(12:32 – 12:38)

**3-1018**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-1** – Requires a separation of one thousand feet between properties where alcoholic beverages are being consumed or possessed in open containers and properties where religious, school, hospital, drug treatment or shelter services are being offered. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill prohibits persons from drinking from or possessing open containers of alcoholic beverages that were originally purchased in sealed or corked containers, if these acts take place on property within one thousand feet of a church, synagogue, public or private school, hospital, drug treatment center or homeless shelter. These restrictions do not apply to consumption or possession of open containers of alcoholic beverages on residential property or on property for which the City has issued a special event license for that purpose.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-1 - First Amendment

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5563 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, questioned the definition of properties as it relates to the 1,000-foot separation requirement, because, in his opinion, that could also include residences. So this issue should then be taken up with all the residents because people also drink at home. MAYOR GOODMAN said that it refers to buildings such as schools or religious establishments. MR. McGOWAN insisted that the bill says properties where alcoholic beverages are consumed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Recommending  
Item 67 – Bill No. 2003-1

**MINUTES – Continued:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, clarified that Line 22 of the bill says: upon property other than residential property or property designated in special event licenses. MR. McGOWAN thanked MR. DiFIORE for his clarification.

There was no further discussion.

(12:38 – 12:40)  
**3-1210**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-2** – Allows the use “Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science” as a permitted use in the C-1, C-2, C-M and M Zoning Districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will allow the use “Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science” as a permitted use in the C-1, C-2, C-M and M Zoning Districts. It has been determined that the impact of the use will be no greater than similar uses permitted in those districts.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-2

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5564 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:40)

**3-1291**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-3** – Allows mixed-use development in the Downtown Redevelopment Area by means of special use permit. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City's zoning regulations currently do not allow mixed-use development to the extent necessary to encourage appropriate redevelopment downtown. This bill will allow such development to occur in the Downtown Redevelopment Area by means of special use permit.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-3

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5565 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

NOTE: Under Item 70 COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino and his brother, Steven Mack, owns a SuperPawn shop in the vicinity. He did not believe their businesses would be impacted; therefore, he would be voting.

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:40 – 12:41)

**3-1312**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-4** – Establishes standards for outdoor dining in the Downtown Overlay District.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Outdoor dining is encouraged within the Downtown Overlay District. However, neither the City's zoning regulations nor the regulations governing the use of sidewalk areas currently allow outdoor dining in those areas. This bill will allow, and establish standards for, such outdoor dining within the Downtown Overlay District.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-4

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5566 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino and his brother, Steven Mack, owns a SuperPawn shop in the vicinity. He did not believe their businesses would be impacted; therefore, he would be voting.

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:41 – 12:42)

**3-1328**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-5** – Establishes a process for obtaining a waiver of certain fees related to parade permits. Proposed by: Doug Selby, City Manager

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Municipal Code currently requires the holder of a parade permit to reimburse the City for the costs it incurs in connection with the permit and the associated parade. Occasionally the City is asked to waive the requirement to pay some or all of these costs. This bill will formalize the process by which the City Council or City Manager (depending on the amount) may grant a waiver.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-5

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5567 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:42)

**3-1360**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-6** – Annexation No. A-0035-02 – Property location: Bounded by Grand Teton Drive to the north, Puli Road to the west, Hualapai Way to the east, and Centennial Parkway and the I-215 Beltway to the south; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC, et al.; Acreage: 1,056.84 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally bounded by Grand Teton Drive to the north, Puli Road to the west, Hualapai Way to the east, and Centennial Parkway and the I-215 Beltway to the south. The annexation is at the request of various property owners, and includes a number of parcels not requested for annexation. Once the statutory process has been followed, this ordinance will establish the effective date of annexation (February 14, 2003).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-6 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5568 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that this is one of the types of annexations that required a public hearing and input from property owners. Less than a majority of property owners objected to this annexation at the hearing of 1/8/2003. SEAN ROBERTSON, Planning and Development, said that there were no protestors.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Recommending  
Item 72 – Bill No. 2003-6

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(12:42 – 12:44)

**3-1376**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-7** – Annexation No. A-0038-02(A) – Property location: Various locations, generally in the north and west areas of the City; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 675.6 acres; Zoned: Various zoning designations. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance will annex certain parcels pursuant to AB 179 of the 2001 Legislative Session. As undeveloped parcels largely surrounded by property located within the limits of the City, the parcels are eligible for annexation by the City. The effective date of annexation (February 14, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 2/5/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/21/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/8/2003; First Publication – 1/24/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-7 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5569 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:44)

**3-1433**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2002-143** – Permits restricted gaming at supper club business establishments. Proposed by Mark Vincent, Director, Finance and Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will permit supper club business establishments to have slot machines. A maximum of ten slot machines will be permitted in establishments having at least five thousand square feet of usable floor space. Not more than five slot machines will be permitted in establishments having less than five thousand square feet of usable floor space.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

FORWARDED to the 1/22/2003 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 1/6/2003 Recommending Committee until a public hearing can be held by the City Council.

First Reading – 12/18/2002; First Publication – N/A

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2002-145** – Repeals and replaces LVMC Chapter 6.50, relating to liquor control, and revises related zoning provisions. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director, Finance and Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In addition to adding new alcoholic beverage licensing categories for banquet facilities, convention facilities, nonprofit club restaurant service bars, billiard parlors, convenience stores, art galleries, art studios, buses and limousines this bill reorganizes the presentation of the existing alcoholic beverage regulations, including moving related zoning matters from Chapter 6.50 to Title 19 of the City Code. Special use permit regulations for unlicensed locations hosting social events with alcoholic beverage sales are also established.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ABEYANCE to the 3/17/2003 Recommending Committee meeting pursuant to the 2/3/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/18/2002; First Publication – N/A

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

3/17/2003 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-9** – Updates the City's temporary traffic control regulations. Proposed by: Charles Kajkowski, Deputy Director, Department of Public Works

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will update the City's temporary traffic control regulations to conform to current standards and requirements in the Southern Nevada area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/19/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/3/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/22/2003; First Publication – 2/7/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-10** – Updates the provisions of Title 13 that pertain to public improvements, and authorizes the adoption of a fee schedule for public improvement-related work. Proposed by: Charles Kajkowski, Deputy Director, Department of Public Works

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will update the Municipal Code provisions that pertain to the installation and repair of public improvements, and will authorize the adoption of a fee schedule for public improvement-related work. The bill will bring the City's provisions in line with current standards and requirements in the Southern Nevada area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/19/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/3/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/22/2003; First Publication – 2/7/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-11** - Levies Assessment for Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$15,448.55

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Levies the assessment for the annual maintenance costs of street beautification improvements along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane from February, 2003 through June, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 2/19/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/3/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/22/2003; First Publication – 2/7/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-12** – Annexation No. A-0032-02(A) – Property location: On the north side of Donald Nelson Avenue, 340 feet west of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned by: El Durango, LLC; Acreage: 7.77 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Donald Nelson Avenue, 340 feet west of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 28, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-12 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-13** – Annexation No. A-0033-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Grand Teton Road, 330 feet east of Hualapai Way; Petitioned by: El Durango, LLC; Acreage: 5.18 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Grand Teton Road, 330 feet east of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 28, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-13 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-14** – Annexation No. A-0034-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Donald Nelson Avenue, 660 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned by: El Durango, LLC; Acreage: 2.55 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Donald Nelson Avenue, 660 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 14, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-14 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-15** – Annexation No. A-0037-02(A) – Property location: On the east side of Rio Vista Street, 170 feet north of Ann Road; Petitioned by: Judie Collins and Bruce Warburton, et al.; Acreage: 7.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Rio Vista Street, 170 feet north of Ann Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 28, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-15 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-16** – Annexation No. A-0041-02(A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and Racel Street; Petitioned by: Kimball T. Stratton; Acreage: 15.04 acres; Zoned: R-A and R-E (County zoning), to R-A and R-E (City equivalents). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and Racel Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 28, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-16 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-17** – Authorizes the City to impose minimum landscaping requirements on multi-family developments that were approved before minimum requirements were established.

Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Generally, multi-family developments within the City are required to maintain landscaping in accordance with certain standards. However, older developments approved before minimum landscaping requirements were established currently do not have to meet such standards. This bill will authorize the City to require such developments that do not have adequate landscaping to submit a plan for approval and to maintain landscaping in accordance therewith.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-17

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-18** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1499 - Alexander Road (US-95 to Rancho Drive) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$74,652.28

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, “L” type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-18

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2003-19** – Updates the description of the City's ward boundaries. Proposed by:  
Barbara Jo Ronemus, City Clerk

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Council recently adopted Ordinance No. 5556, which made adjustments to the City's ward boundaries. One of the changes made by that ordinance was based on erroneous information. This bill will correct the error and make any additional changes that need to be made at the time of adoption.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-19

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/18/2003 Recommending Committee

2/19/2003 Council Agenda

(12:44 – 12:47)

**3-1466**

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:47 P.M.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he held a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues relating to Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] and that some residents were present today. He requested that when these items come back on April 16, 2003 that they not be heard before 4:00 p.m. and that the residents be renoticed. He is aware that the applicant's representative, ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, is trying to work with the neighborhood to address those issues.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked why Item 99 [VAC-0063-02] was being held in abeyance again. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, replied that the request was made by the School District. MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Annex, stated that they require additional time to review alternatives on this project. Components on the placement of the building and the control of the street still have not been addressed.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he gets the sense that the street will not be vacated and the best that the City can do is provide poles for when the property is not being used for the purpose of the building. MR. LaCROIX stated that they understand the Council's position and would like to meet one more time with staff and the City Council to present plans showing how their concerns are being addressed. He understands there were some issues that were needed in writing in terms of the control of the street during school events and also the shifting of the building.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY emphasized that the City is trying to work with the School District, but it appears that the School District is not taking into consideration the City's proposed project in the adjacent area. The City welcomes this wonderful project, but if the School District decides not to go ahead with the project, the students will be the losers. This project could be a win-win for everyone.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

City Clerk

Item 87 - Any Items

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO mentioned that COUNCILMAN MACK wanted to hold in abeyance Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to get further information in order to address some issues. COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed his desire to hold the item in abeyance to the 3/5/2003 City Council meeting.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, announced that the 2/19/2003 City Council agenda will be quite long and recommended that any items that need to be held in abeyance should be held to the 3/5/2003 City Council meeting. COUNCILMAN REESE clarified that Items 99 [VAC-0063-02] and 100 [VAC-1197] would remain to be heard on 2/19/2003.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)

**4-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1479  
– Mayfair Area (\$70,328.08 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$70,328.08☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Public hearing on the Final Assessment Roll for the construction and installation of streetlights. The District is located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Public Hearing only; no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Notice of Public Hearing

**MOTION:**

**None required. Public Hearing held**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, explained that the Mayfair Area project was primarily a street rehabilitation project. However, there were some streets in the Mayfair area that did not have streetlights and many residents requested streetlights on those streets. The assessment is only for the streetlight component.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked whether a notice was sent out together with the assessment fees explaining how the assessment would be broken down. People do not understand whether it is one payment or whether residents can pay a third of the payment, and how many homes were

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Public Works Department

Item 88 – Special Improvement District No. 1479 – Mayfair Area

**MINUTES – Continued:**

assessed this fee. The residents also want to know if they will be assessed for a street improvement project that will be taken place in this area. MR. GOECKE replied that every property owner received a bill for \$558.00, which is 100% the cost of the assessment. It could be paid in its entirety or they will receive a bill twice a year for five years. That is the only assessment they will receive. They will only be assessed the \$70,328.08 for the streetlights. The rest is paid either by street rehab money or sanitary sewer money. Using a map MR. GOECKE pointed out the areas of those people who are being assessed. Those residents who reside on those streets that already have streetlights did not receive an assessment.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that the residents did not understand how the assessment works. MR. GOECKE stated that in the event there is some misunderstanding, staff could follow up with another mailing explaining that it is a bill that could be paid in its entirety or twice a year for five years.

KENNETH FUNG, 414 South 16<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that he has resided in this area for approximately 15 years and questioned the assessment because where he lives streetlights already exist. He mentioned that some residents are being exempted and felt that that is unfair. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that MR. GOECKE presented a map of the Mayfair neighborhoods showing which residents are being assessed. MR. FUNG replied that he did not clearly see the map. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that the item be trailed until MR. GOECKE and his staff could come back to further explain the assessment.

MR. GOECKE explained that concerns expressed by two residents were about existing streetlights and why some people got assessed and others did not. He explained that those residents that already have streetlights paid for them at some point in time. Another individual stated that he plans to pay off his assessment over five years and felt that it was not right that he had to pay interest on the SID payment. MR. GOECKE explained to him that Finance makes a recommendation as to what that is and it has yet to be made. It will be a decision that comes before the Mayor and Council. He confirmed for COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that it would be approximately 5%. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that that amount is a bit much, especially for those people who cannot afford to pay the SID, not to mention the 5% interest. It is a lot of money for those people who have no income. There should be a measure in place to help those people who cannot afford to pay the SID. As the percentage is determined, the City should be considerate on how those fees are assessed, especially for those people who cannot pay.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003

Public Works Department

Item 88 – Special Improvement District No. 1479 – Mayfair Area

**MINUTES – Continued:**

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS commented that if bonds are issued at 5%, typically it is rolled back to the SID participant. But the City will look into ways to ease that and to provide for a hardship program. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that \$588 for some people is a hardship.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that there is a hardship determination that is built into the SID system so that if someone could not pay, they could apply for a hardship determination, and their SID principal could be held off until such time as they no longer required the hardship determination.

MR. GOECKE verified with MAYOR GOODMAN that the people he spoke with understood the situation.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, agreed with the City attorney, but argued that the letter that was sent did not state that. He suggested that another letter be sent to the residents outlining what they can do if they have a hardship. MR. GOECKE indicated that another notice would be sent out.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:38 – 1:46/3:22 – 3:28)

**4-225/5-683**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 5417 Burntwood Way. PROPERTY OWNER: EDMUND B. AND DENISE S. HO - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$2,610.05
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing all windows and doors; repairing/replacing gates and the garage door; removing all trash, debris, litter, basketball hoop, furniture, and miscellaneous items; removing the dismantled Ford Mustang and auto parts; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,610.05 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 89 – 5417 Burntwood Way

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The property owner was not present.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, K. O. Construction was hired to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning and boarding, securing all windows and doors, repairing the gates and the garage door. Trash, furniture, and dismantled car parts were removed and “No Trespassing” signs were posted on the property.

MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,610.05 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:46 – 1:47)

**4-480**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 2016 E. St. Louis Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: JORGE MURILLO AND SONIA CHAVEZ - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,900.50☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house; securing the water heater room, shed and the air conditioning opening; removing all trash, debris, furniture, appliances, auto parts, and miscellaneous items from the interior and the yard areas; removing high grass and weeds; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,900.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 90 – 2016 E. St. Louis Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The property owner was not present.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, Weaver Construction was hired to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house, securing the water heating room, shed, and air conditioning openings. Trash, debris, furniture, appliances, and auto parts were removed from the yard area, as well as high grass and weeds and “No Trespassing” signs were posted on the property.

MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,900.50 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:47 – 1:49)

**4-532**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 104 Sacramento Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: VALENTIN HERNANDEZ AND AURELIA ESQUIVEL - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$1,692.35
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house; securing the gates; securing/removing any sheds; removing all high vegetation, trash, debris, litter, furniture, and miscellaneous items; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,692.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 91 – 104 Sacramento Drive

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The property owner was not present.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, K. O. Construction was hired to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning and boarding and securing the vacant house, securing the gates, securing and removing the broken down sheds, removing all high vegetation, trash, debris, furniture, and miscellaneous items and by posting “No Trespassing” signs on the property.

MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,692.35 in order that the charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien and authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked the City Council to approve the item.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:49 – 1:52)

**4-590**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING

- 92 EOT-1287** - Madre Mesa
- 93 EOT-1314** - Clarence Bott on behalf of Distinctive Homes
- 94 EOT-1332** - DFA, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Ahern Rentals

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 95 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0048-02(1)** - Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones 1990 Trust
- 96 SDR-1318** - Peccole Nevada Corporation

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 97 ABEYANCE ITEM - MSP-1194** - Heyer Living Trust 1196 on behalf of Terrible Herbst

STREET NAME CHANGE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 98 SNC-1282** - Woodside Talaverde, Limited Liability Company

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 99 ABEYANCE ITEM - VAC-0063-02** - School Board of Trustees
- 100 ABEYANCE ITEM - VAC-1197** - Tighi Family Trust Limited Partnership and George Lee Reynolds Estate on behalf of Chartered Development
- 101 VAC-1284** - Ernest A. Becker IV and Kathleen C. Becker Family Trust
- 102 VAC-1329** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 103 ABEYANCE ITEM - VAR-1121** - Peter K. Lehr
- 104 VAR-1277** - Peter Eliades
- 105 VAR-1276** - Peter Eliades

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1277 AND VAR-1276 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 106 SDR-1278** - Peter Eliades

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 5, 2003

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 107 **VAR-1330** - Sallie Fuller
- 108 **VAR-1337** - Andrew Fonfa
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1337 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 109 **SDR-1338** - Andrew Fonfa
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 110 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SUP-1164** - Boca Park Parcels, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Higco
- 111 **SUP-1178** - Matrix Construction
- VACATION RELATED TO SUP-1178 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 112 **VAC-1177** - Matrix Construction
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 113 **SUP-1335** - DFA, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Ahern Rentals
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-1335 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 114 **SDR-1334** - DFA, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Ahern Rentals
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 115 **SUP-1403** - Nevada Homes Group
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-1403 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 116 **SDR-1402** - Nevada Homes Group
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 117 **ZON-1260** - Ernest A. Becker IV and Kathleen C. Becker Family Trust, et al on behalf of Stanpark Construction Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1260 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 118 **SDR-1262** - Ernest A. Becker IV and Kathleen C. Becker Family Trust, et al on behalf of Stanpark Construction Company

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 5, 2003

- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 119 **ZON-1323** - Debbane Boulos, et al on behalf of Nevada Homes Group
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1323 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 120 **SDR-1324** - Debbane Boulos, et al on behalf of Nevada Homes Group
- VACATION RELATED TO ZON-1323 AND SDR-1324 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 121 **VAC-1320** - Debbane Boulos, et al on behalf of Nevada Homes Group
- 122 **VAC-1321** - Nevada Homes Group
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 123 **ZON-1339** - Pardee Homes of Nevada, et al on behalf of Perma-Bilt Homes
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1339 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 124 **SDR-1336** - Pardee Homes of Nevada, et al on behalf of Perma-Bilt Homes
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 125 **GPA-0039-02** - Vivinian O'Hare on behalf of Richmond American Homes
- 126 **GPA-1398** - City of Las Vegas
- 127 **GPA-1292** - Daybreak Christian Fellowship, Inc.
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1292 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 128 **ZON-1291** - Daybreak Christian Fellowship, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1292 AND ZON-1291 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 129 **SDR-1289** - Daybreak Christian Fellowship, Inc.
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 130 **GPA-1333** - Resorts at Queensridge, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Crestdale Associates
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1333 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 131 **ZON-1340** - Resorts at Queensridge, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Crestdale Associates



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 5, 2003

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1333 AND ZON-1340 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 132 VAR-1342** - Resorts at Queensridge, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Crestdale Associates

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1333, ZON-1340 AND VAR-1342 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 133 SDR-1341** - Resorts at Queensridge, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Crestdale Associates

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - **EOT-1287 - MADRE MESA** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning (Z-0079-00) FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) under Resolution of Intent to R-3 (Medium Density Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 3.05 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Washington Avenue and Pecos Road (APN: 140-30-301-001), PROPOSED USE: COMMERCIAL CENTER, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Item 92 [EOT-1287] and Item 93 [EOT-1314] subject to conditions**  
**– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:52)

**4-667**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for General Plan Amendment (GPA-0025-00) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0079-00(1)].
2. This Extension of Time will expire November 24, 2004.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - EOT-1314 - CLARENCE BOTT ON BEHALF OF DISTINCTIVE HOMES** - Request for a Reinstatement and Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0079-98) FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre) of 16.19 Acres on the south side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 220 feet east of Jones Boulevard (APNs: 125-25-301-004 and 005), [PROPOSED USE: 37 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION], and a Reinstatement and Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning (Z-0057-99) from U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre) on 0.92 Acres at 5834 Rowland Avenue (APN: 125-25-301-005), PROPOSED USE: OPEN SPACE FOR A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Item 92 [EOT-1287] and Item 93 [EOT-1314] subject to conditions**  
**– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:52)

4-667

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on November 17, 2005.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 93 – EOT-1314

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Z-0079-98, Z-0057-99 and all site-related actions, as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - EOT-1332 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF AHERN RENTALS** - Request for a Reinstatement and Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0084-99) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: C-M (Commercial/Industrial) Zone on 1.73 acres adjacent to the south side of Bonanza Road, approximately 125 feet east of Clarkway Drive (APN: 139-28-401-011 and 012), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 as follows:

1. This Reinstatement and Extension of Time will expire on April 5, 2004 unless another Extension of Time is approved by the City Council.  
– UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

**MINUTES:**

BRUCE BILYEU, Ahern Rentals, 1611 West Bonanza Road, questioned why the Extension of Time expires on April 5, 2003. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, explained that it should be corrected to April 5, 2004.

There was no further discussion.

(1:52 – 1:54)

**4-700**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Reinstatement and Extension of Time will expire on April 5, 2003 unless another Extension of Time is approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 94 – EOT-1332

**MINUTES – Continued:**

2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0084-99), Site Development Plan Review [SDR-1334) and all other subsequent site related actions.

Public Works

3. Dedicate an additional 15 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 50 feet on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site within 90 days of approval of this extension of time or development of site, whichever may occur first. Coordinate with the Right-of-way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.
4. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0084-99 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0048-02(1) - WILLARD R. AND MARY VIRGINIA JONES 1990 TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 66-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 21.25 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Durango Drive and La Madre Way (APN: 125-33-301-001, 004, 125-33-302-001 and 007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**248**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**9**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
4. Staff Report
5. Submitted at City Council – Petition with 49 signatures supporting the limitation of one story homes
6. Submitted at City Council – Petition with 47 signatures supporting the vacation of Lesa Lane

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions, requiring Lisa Lane be closed with a cul-de-sac, amending the following conditions:

1. **A Rezoning (Z-0048-02) to an R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.**
5. ***Single story dwellings will abut all existing single story homes.***
7. **The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 20 feet to the front of the house, and front of the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 10 feet or 5 feet on the side combining to 15 feet between homes, 15 feet on the corner side, and 20 feet in the rear.**
15. **Dedicate or obtain dedication of appropriate rights-of-way to terminate Lisa Lane in a cul-de-sac meeting current City Standards for each segment of Lisa Lane that remains public. The Tentative Map shall depict the full cul-de-sac bulb(s) offset**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 95 – Z-0048-02(1)

**MOTION – Continued:**

into the applicant's property unless the applicant provides a notarized statement from all affected property owners accepting the standard cul-de-sac; all public street dedication needed to complete the full cul-de-sac bulb outside of the boundaries of this site shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with recordation of a Final Map for this site. *Because of potential traffic concerns related to access to Durango Drive under full-build-out conditions, additional active access drives may be required to service this site if recommended by the Traffic Engineering Division. Comply with the recommendations of the Traffic Engineering Division.*

**And the following added condition:**

- *A pedestrian passage will be provided to connect the cul-de-sacs of Lisa Lane.*
- *A 20-foot wide equestrian trail shall be provided on the applicant's property along the north side of La Madre Way, pursuant to the City of Las Vegas Trails Plan and as shown in Exhibit 1 of Recreation Trails Plan. If La Madre Way is developed to rural standards, then the trail may be built on right-of-way.*

– UNANIMOUS

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

**APPEARANCES:**

MARK JONES, Southwest Engineering, 3016 North Rancho  
GEORGE HITTER, 5335 North El Capitan  
PETER ATKINSON, Lisa Lane  
DIANA COX, 5335 North El Capitan  
MICHAEL PARRISH, 5120 North Lisa  
VALERIE JUICK, 5112 Royer Ranch  
RICH SPAULDING, 5024 North Lisa Lane  
JIM DONOHUE, 4195 Tomsik Street  
KIM SPAULDING, 5024 North Lisa  
NANCY FELMLEE, 4125 North Durango  
GLADYS FINE, Tomsik Street  
TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident  
BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department  
MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Current Planning  
JOE CADELL, Toll Brothers, 1635 Village Center Circle  
CONAN PYNE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 95 – Z-0048-02(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.  
(1:54 – 2:44)

**4-751**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0048-02) to an R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. There shall be a maximum of 45 units with density no greater than 2 units per acre east of Lisa Lane.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. A deed restriction shall be recorded on this property to require that the homes shall be limited to one-story in height.
6. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum distance between buildings of 20 feet, and the building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
7. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 25 feet to the front of the house, and front of the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 10 feet on the side, 15 feet on the corner side, and 30 feet in the rear.
8. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters as required by the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
9. The site/landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect a detailed landscape plan including species type and size for the indicated open space areas and to create a recreational facility in the largest open space pod.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 95 – Z-0048-02(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

10. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Dedicate or obtain dedication of appropriate rights-of-way to terminate Lisa Lane in a cul-de-sac meeting current City Standards for each segment of Lisa Lane that remains public. The Tentative Map shall depict the full cul-de-sac bulb(s) offset into the applicant's property unless the applicant provides a notarized statement from all affected property owners accepting the standard cul-de-sac; all public street dedication needed to complete the full cul-de-sac bulb outside of the boundaries of this site shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with recordation of a Final Map for this site.
16. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Washburn Road, Durango Drive, La Madre Way, and Lisa Lane, including the full radius of the cul-de-sac(s) terminating Lisa Lane, adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
17. The Tentative Map for this site shall comply with Title 18 with respect to street widths for the interior private streets, unless a waiver for such is approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 95 – Z-0048-02(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

18. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
19. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
20. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-48-02, and all other applicable site-related actions.
21. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - SDR-1318 - PECCOLE NEVADA CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED RETAIL ADDITION to the Fort Apache Commons Shopping Center on a portion of 8.76 acres located at the southwest corner of Charleston Boulevard and Fort Apache Road (APN: 163-05-110-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>3</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because he has a business relationship with one of the principals of Peccole Corporation**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CLYDE SPETZIE, AMEC Engineering, 46709 South Fort Apache Road, Suite 180, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

WILDA CHEVERS, 9012 Covered Wagon Avenue, asked what recourse the homeowners would have in the event Peccole Corporation decides not to follow through with the project. MAYOR GOODMAN responded that conditions are imposed on an application once it is approved, with which the applicant must comply. It is difficult for the City to monitor whether conditions are being met. If the neighbors find that any of the conditions are not being complied with, they can contact the City, who will check and possibly bring the applicant back in front of the City Council. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, added

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 96 – SDR-1318

**MINUTES – Continued:**

that there is a condition on this particular application that requires that development of this site begin within two years otherwise this approval will expire.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, referred to a development where the applicant agreed not to destroy trees, but when he did, it was too late to do anything about it. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that Code 19.00.070, Part B, makes it a misdemeanor to violate any of the conditions imposed by the City Council.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, pointed out that there is a difference between substance and supposition, until or unless someone violates the condition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:44 – 2:50)

**4-2792**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Prior to construction, the developer will construct a wall along the paseo eight feet in height and designed to match the existing walls along the paseo. The developer, after construction of this wall, will use green windscreen material along the paseo wall during construction.
2. There will be no exterior lighted signage that faces the Paseo area. A signage Design Plan for the entire project shall be submitted at a later date for Peccole Ranch Community Association Design Review Committee approval.
3. The landscaping plans shall be submitted at a later date for Peccole Ranch Community Association Design Review Committee approval.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 96 – SDR-1318

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the minimum required number of loading spaces.
7. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 96 – SDR-1318

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

15. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0139-88 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-1194** - **HEYER LIVING TRUST 1996 ON BEHALF OF TERRIBLE HERBST** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE, GAS CANOPY AND CAR WASH on 4.65 acres located at 2401 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-29-801-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (2-2-1 vote on a motion for approval) has NO RECOMMENDATION. Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-2-1 vote on a motion for approval) has NO RECOMMENDATION. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 3/5/2003 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that due to a scheduled conflict, he was unable to meet with SEAN HIGGINS to address some concerns. MR. HIGGINS agreed to hold the item in abeyance until the March 5, 2003 City Council meeting.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed concern about the display portion of the structure and how it might distract drivers heading in the northerly direction. The intersection at Rancho Drive and US 95 already has heavy traffic.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 97 – MSP-1194

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that during the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) briefing last week, NDOT acknowledged that during this calendar year they will start construction along the Rancho Drive corridor, between Mesquite and Bonanza, to enable the widening of US95. For about 60 to 90 days, this location will be congested. What is there now is commercial, but will intensify with the new expansion project. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY added that many of the business owners have expressed concern about their portion of the business being taken away by the State. MR. HIGGINS confirmed that he was made aware of this situation by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S liaison.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked if the applicant or any other applicant on today's agenda is a campaign contributor to any of the public officials registered for election. MAYOR GOODMAN responded that they are responsible and obligated to file with the Clerk's Office all the contributions and they do not have to disclose.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:50 – 2:56)

**4-3085**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**STREET NAME CHANGE - PUBLIC HEARING - SNC-1282 - WOODSIDE TALAVERDE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Street Name Change FROM: Torn Moccasin Street TO: Corsicana Street; FROM: Old Leather Avenue TO: Kingsland Avenue; and FROM: Knife Wing Street TO: Marble Falls Street on property generally located at the southwest corner of Mountain Shadow Road and Vista Run Drive, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

STEVEN BUSKEVICIOUS, Carter & Burgess, Inc., 6655 Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of Woodside Homes and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD verified with ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, that the Fire Department is aware of the street name change.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:56 – 2:58)

**4-3432**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 98 – SNC-1282

**CONDITIONS:**

Public Works

1. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs related to this Street Name Change including signage and installation.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0063-02** - **SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES** - Petition to vacate a portion of Ninth Street located between Lewis Avenue and Clark Avenue, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Abeyance request from Clark County School District
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter with attachments from the Downtown Central Development Committee

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**MINUTES:**

**COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** asked why Item 99 [VAC-0063-02] was being held in abeyance again. **ROBERT GENZER**, Director, Planning and Development Department, replied that the request was made by the School District. **MATT LaCROIX**, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Annex, stated that they require additional time to review alternatives on this project. Components on the placement of the building and the control of the street still have not been addressed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 99 – VAC-0063-02]

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he gets the sense that the street will not be vacated and the best that the City can do is provide poles for when the property is not being used for the purpose of the building. MR. LaCROIX stated that they understand the Council's position and would like to meet one more time with staff and the City Council to present plans showing how their concerns are being addressed. He understands there were some issues that were needed in writing in terms of the control of the street during school events and also the shifting of the building.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY emphasized that the City is trying to work with the School District, but it appears that the School District is not taking into consideration the City's proposed project in the adjacent area. The City welcomes this wonderful project, but if the School District decides not to go ahead with the project, the students will be the losers. This project could be a win-win for everyone.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1197 - TIGHI FAMILY TRUST LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND GEORGE LEE REYNOLDS ESTATE ON BEHALF OF CHARTERED DEVELOPMENT - Petition to vacate U. S. Government Patents generally located at the northeast corner of Rome Boulevard and Fort Apache Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0
0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0
0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Abeyance request from Civiltec Inc.

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:31 – 1:46)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1284 - ERNEST A. BECKER IV AND KATHLEEN C. BECKER FAMILY TRUST** - Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located adjacent to the southeast corner of El Capitan Way and Centennial Parkway, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:58)

**4-3514**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 101 – VAC-1284

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
3. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
4. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-1329 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Bradley Road between Brent Lane and Horse Drive, portions of Unicorn Street between Brent Lane and Horse Drive, a portion of Thom Boulevard between Brent Lane and Horse Drive, and a portion of Brent Lane between Bradley Road and Thom Boulevard, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition #4 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LORA DREJA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, that Condition 4 should be deleted because it is a duplicate of Condition 3.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:58 – 3:00)

**4-3557**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 102 – VAC-1329

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Dedicate or obtain dedication of right-of-way; the north 25.5 foot half-street of Brent Lane and the right-of-way to terminate Unicorn Street in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works, prior to or concurrent with the recordation of this Order of Vacation.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1121 - PETE K. LEHR**  
- Request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING DETACHED GARAGE WITHIN THE FRONT YARD OF AN EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING WHERE A DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURE IS NOT PERMITTED AND TO BE SET BACK 3 FEET FROM THE FRONT PROPERTY LINE WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AND 3 FEET 10 INCHES FROM THE SIDE PROPERTY LINE WHERE 5 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED at 400 Princeton Street (APN: 138-25-713-118), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>6</b>
<b>2</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – DENIED – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PETE LEHR, 400 Princeton Street, explained that the reason he built the detached garage is because he had problems with vandalism. Additionally, he would like to place his new car in the garage. He stated he was misled by the Planning Department. He referred to a petition with approximately 200 signatures supporting this project, which he submitted to City Clerk's Office on January 21, 2003.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked that the City Council deny the request.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 103 – VAR-1121

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, remarked that he finds it hard to believe that any neighbor would support this project. This might lead to an increase in property insurance.

BRUCE GORDNEY, carpenter, stated that he started building the structure because the applicant was told that he could build the garage. Code Enforcement told them to stop the construction.

STEVEN “CAPTAIN TRUCE” DEMPSEY stated that MR. LEHR owns the property and has support from the neighborhood. He should be able to build it.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that the structure violates all City Codes, and it is not appropriate. In addition, granting the variance would set a precedent. MR. LEHR commented that residents of this area are being denied the ability to have sheds or carports. In fact, residents want to have a meeting to discuss this situation. COUNCILMAN McDONALD replied that there will be a town meeting with this particular neighborhood. He will work with the applicant on a timeline to either find another solution or to remove the structure.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if a permit was obtained. MARGO WHEELER, Planning Manager, Current Planning Division, Planning and Development Department, explained that MR. LEHR went to the Building Department and filed an appeal, and the internal process was followed through with the Building Department. At that time, the decision was made that a variance was required, which brought it to the Planning Department where MR. LEHR applied for a variance. The building could be legalized, but it is significantly within the setback area. MR. LEHR remarked that if had he known staff opposed the variance, he would not have invested money for the architect and the variance. The structure is not a fire or traffic hazard and wondered why he could not be given the opportunity to complete the garage. Other homes in the neighborhood have overhangs in front blocking views and no one has complained about them.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MS. WHEELER if there was anything that was suggested to indicate to MR. LEHR that he could proceed without getting permission from the City. MS. WHEELER replied that two departments were involved. MR. LEHR originally indicated he spoke with the building department about the need for a building permit, and apparently that was the first level of misunderstanding. With regard to the variance within the Planning and Development Department, records show that there was a pre-application hearing prior to submitting the application. That was held with staff, and there are State requirements with regard to the findings for a variance, and staff is generally very forthcoming in

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 103 – VAR-1121

**MINUTES – Continued:**

indicating the difficulty in making those findings. This is one of those unusual circumstances that is not the same for surrounding properties, and that is why staff recommended denial. MAYOR GOODMAN asked if MR. LEHR was advised of that before construction. MS. WHEELER responded that construction began prior to speaking with the Building Department. The building had been erected before he contacted Planning and Development.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked under what circumstances is a person allowed to construct anything without having a permit. PAUL WILKINS, Director, Building and Safety, advised that no permits were obtained. The Building Department would never tell anyone to go ahead and build anything without a permit. When MR. LEHR submitted the appeal, he was told he required a variance. If the variance were approved, then it would have to go through the Building Department to ensure it was structurally sound. At this time, the Building Department has not inspected the structure. MR. LEHR argued that he fully cooperated.

MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that a letter was received from someone indicating that MR. LEHR was being forceful and intimidating.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD moved to deny the variance because the structure encroaches the right-of-way. He informed MR. LEHR that staff will try to work with him to see if there is another way the building could be built.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: The support petition MR. LEHR referred to was submitted to the City Clerk's Office on January 21, 2003, and it has been made a part of the 1/22/2003 City Council Final Minutes.

(3:00 – 3:15)

**4-3644**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - VAR-1277 - PETER ELIADES - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW THE EXPANSION OF A NON-CONFORMING USE (SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESS) on property located at 1531 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 162-03-210-090), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 36

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because ATTORNEY BROWN is a partner of his son and M. McDONALD abstaining because he may have an interest in property in the vicinity**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY DAVID BROWN, Goodman, Brown and Premsrut, 520 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN REESE questioned the reason staff is recommending the waiver of the landscaping on Item 106 [VAR-1277]. He would like landscaping in this area because a lot of money has already been spent to beautify this area. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, explained that on that particular item, this location had zero landscaping along Las Vegas Boulevard until a couple of months ago when the applicant put in

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 104 – VAR-1277

**MINUTES – Continued:**

some landscaping. In this particular case, staff took the position that, because it is difficult to get what the code would require in its entirety, any agreement for upgrading the property to put some form of landscaping would be a step in the right direction. There is a condition that requires a landscaping plan to be submitted to staff prior to issuance of any permits for the expansion. Staff will continue to work with the applicant to maximize the landscaping, but at this time, looking at the site, staff does not believe it will ever get up to what the code would require.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked that the City Council approve all three applications.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the landscaping issue should have been resolved before the City Council meeting.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that he would not have a problem with the expansion as long as the applicant does not go east or north. He clarified with ATTORNEY BROWN that this is an expansion of the restrooms. DOLORES ELIADES clarified for COUNCILMAN REESE that the separate entrance would be for the restrooms, not the outside of the building.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 106 [SDR-1278] for related discussion.

(3:15 – 3:20/4:47)

**5-455/6-521**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1278).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO VAR-1277 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1276 - PETER ELIADES** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A FOUR-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE TEN FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on property located at 1531 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 162-03-210-090), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because ATTORNEY BROWN is a partner of his son and M. McDONALD abstaining because he may have an interest in property in the vicinity**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY DAVID BROWN, Goodman, Brown and Premsrirut, 520 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 104 [VAR-1277] and Item 106 [SDR-1278] for related discussion.

(3:15 – 3:20/4:47)

**5-455/6-521**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 105 – VAR-1276

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1278).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1277 AND VAR-1276 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1278 - PETER ELIADES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Landscape Requirements FOR A PROPOSED RESTROOM AND EMPLOYEE DRESSING ROOM EXPANSION on a portion of 1.63 acres located at 1531 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 162-03-210-090), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *The landscaping shall be in place before the Certificate of Occupancy is granted.*
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because ATTORNEY BROWN is a partner of his son and M. McDONALD abstaining because he may have an interest in property in the vicinity

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY DAVID BROWN, Goodman, Brown and Premsrirut, 520 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN REESE requested that the landscaping be in place before the Certificate of Occupancy is granted. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, indicated that it would be an added condition. ATTORNEY BROWN agreed to the condition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2002  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 106 – SDR-1278

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 104 [VAR-1277] for related discussion.

(3:21 – 3:22/4:47)

**5-648/6-521**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the conditions of approval for VAR-1277 and VAR-1276.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the location of the required loading zones.
5. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
6. The elevations shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, with additional architectural features to enhance façade articulation.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 106 – SDR-1278

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit. The landscaping plan shall identify landscaping and sidewalk treatments for the Las Vegas Boulevard frontage of the site, in accordance with the provisions of the Downtown Centennial Plan.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Any additional parking lot light poles shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
15. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 106 – SDR-1278

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1330 - SALLIE FULLER** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A FIVE-FOOT TALL OPEN WROUGHT IRON FENCE IN THE FRONT YARD SETBACK WHERE A FOUR-FOOT TALL FENCE WITH THE TOP TWO VERTICAL FEET, FIFTY PERCENT OPEN IS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED on 0.13 acres at 1424 Balzar Avenue (APN: 139-21-510-297), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD FULLER, 1424 Balzar, explained that the fence was a security measure for his mother after a burglary. The fence is actually only about eight inches too high and he urged the Council to approve the Variance. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that if more people in the area could afford it, they should get the same type of fence. MS. FULLER is a long-time resident who worked for many years for the School District educating the children in this area.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:28 – 3:29)

**4-3934/5-1**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 107 – VAR-1330

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The applicant shall submit for all permits required for the existing fence and for the existing carport.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1337 - ANDREW FONFA** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A ZERO-FOOT SIDE SETBACK WHERE TEN FOOT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED for a proposed restaurant with drive-through on 0.73 acres adjacent to the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 160 feet east of Valley View Boulevard (APN: 162-08-101-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from ASF Realty requesting withdrawal without prejudice

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-1337 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1338 - ANDREW FONFA** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the Perimeter Landscape Requirement FOR A PROPOSED RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE-THROUGH (DOMINO'S PIZZA) on 0.73 acres adjacent to the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 160 feet east of Valley View Boulevard (APN: 162-08-101-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from ASF Realty requesting withdrawal without prejudice

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE** of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-1164** - **BOCA PARK PARCELS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF HIGCO** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A TAVERN AND A WAIVER OF THE 1500 FOOT SEPARATION RADIUS REQUIREMENT BETWEEN TAVERNS, located at 8820 W. Charleston Blvd. (APN: 138-32-412-027), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**2**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at City Council – Information packet submitted by Bob Peccole
5. Submitted at City Council – Scenario #1 and 2 maps regarding the Tavern Ordinance

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- *The hours of operation shall be reviewed in one year.*
- *There shall not be live music after 2:00 a.m.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with M. McDONALD abstaining due to a potential business venture with the Peccoles

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

**APPEARANCES:**

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Attorney, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, SEAN HIGGINS, Attorney, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

BOB PECCOLE, 8238 West Charleston Boulevard

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 110 – SUP-1164

**MINUTES - Continued:**

**APPEARANCES – Continued:**

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney  
ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development  
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street  
RON DRAKE, President of Nevada Tavern Owners Association  
TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident and mayoral candidate  
VAL STEED, Chief Deputy City Attorney

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:29 – 4:09)

**5-928**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for a “Liquor Establishment (Tavern)” use.
4. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0030-92 and Site Development Plan Review Z-0030-92(25).
5. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1178 - MATRIX CONSTRUCTION** - Request for a Special Use Permit for PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A PROPOSED 20-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 10 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Conough Lane (APN:125-09-501-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 48

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOBBY LEWIS, Orion Engineering, 3068 East Sunset Road, concurred with the conditions. After speaking with area residents, the horse-property lots have been reduced from 20 lots to 19. They will be compatible with what exists in the area.

RON THOMAS, 7745 Maggie, stated that he resides across the street and supports the vacation and privatization of the roads. He was originally concerned with horse safety issues, but the concerns have been addressed by the developer and his representatives. He made a point that the process involving these actions did not allow for any early neighborhood involvement. MAYOR GOODMAN encouraged ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, to review the process in order to see if there would be some way to create an opportunity for early neighborhood involvement.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 111 – SUP-1178

**MINUTES - Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK discussed with MR. LEWIS the relocation of the project entrance in order to create roadway alignment. MR. LEWIS explained the tremendous impact the required dedication would have on the overall project. It would also create a second roadway that would generate more traffic and cause great risk for area horses. The Department of Leisure Services did not support the space that people would use to store horses and access the equestrian trails. COUNCILMAN MACK commented that he felt the roadway could be aligned to avoid any type of jog.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 111 [SUP-1178] and Item 112 [VAC-1177] took place under Item 111 [SUP-1178]

(4:09 – 4:15)

**5-2602**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private street shall be subject to Section 19.04.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The private street shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
4. The City shall be permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.
5. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for the Tentative Map and all other subsequent site related actions.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 111 – SUP-1178

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

Public Works

7. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all private streets.
8. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION RELATED TO SUP-1178 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-1177 - MATRIX CONSTRUCTION** - Petition to vacate a portion of the southern 50 feet of Iron Mountain Road, generally located between Conough Lane and Buffalo Drive, and a portion of the eastern 30 feet of Conough Lane between Iron Mountain Road and Maggie Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition #4 – UNANIMOUS**

**NOTE: Condition #3 is a duplicate of Condition #4. Although not amended, Condition #4 was deleted at the City Attorney's direction.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOBBY LEWIS, Orion Engineering, 3068 East Sunset Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 111 [SUP-1178] and Item 112 [VAC-1177] took place under Item 111 [SUP-1178]

(4:09 – 4:15)

**5-2602**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 112 – VAC-1177

**CONDITIONS:**

1. An application to vacate the remaining portion of Iron Mountain Road between Coke Street and Buffalo Drive, within Clark County, must be approved by Clark County and both orders of vacation shall record simultaneously. If either order to vacate can not record then neither order to vacate shall record.
2. Conough Lane must be terminated in a manner acceptable to The Department of Public Works.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1335 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF AHERN RENTALS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR MANUFACTURING OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT on 1.73 acres located at 1821 & 1825 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-401-011, 012, 013), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-M (Commercial/Industrial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>2</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE BILYEU, 1611 West Bonanza Road, concurred with the conditions.

TOM McGOWAN, resident of Las Vegas and mayoral candidate, recommended approval

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, pointed out that a petition in support of the application was faxed to the City Clerk the previous week with 22 signatures in support of the application. MR. AHERN has reclaimed the south side of Bonanza Village from Martin Luther King to Clarkway Drive. Most of the employees have been hired from the immediate community.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, confirmed that this item was not previously heard at this meeting and concurred with MR. CONTRERAS' comments. However, he pointed out that the applicant began construction prior to coming forward for a permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 113 – SUP-1335

**MINUTES - Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 113 [SUP-1335] and Item 114 [SDR-1334] took place under Item 113 [SUP-1335]

(4:15 – 4:19)

**5-2869**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1334) and other approved subsequent site-related actions.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-1335 - PUBLIC HEARING - SDR-1334 - DFA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF AHERN RENTALS - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the on-site landscape requirements FOR A PROPOSED MANUFACTURING AND PARTS WAREHOUSE on 5.2 acres located at 1721, 1821, and 1825 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-401-010 through 015), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-M (Commercial/Industrial) Zone and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0
0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0
2

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE BILYEU, 1611 West Bonanza Road, concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-1334

**MINUTES - Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 113 [SUP-1335] and Item 114 [SDR-1334] took place under Item 113 [SUP-1335]

(4:15 – 4:19)

**5-2869**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Commercial Subdivision or Parcel Map shall be recorded prior to Certificate of Occupancy, all other permits may be applied for, for the following parcels (APNs: 139-28-401-010 through 020, and 139-28-401).
2. A Reinstatement and Extension of Time for an approved Rezoning (EOT-1332) shall be approved by the City Council.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The site plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit to ensure that the four temporary shade structures become permanent structures or be removed.
6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
7. All trees, shrubs and groundcover in the proposed planters on the landscape plan shall be in accordance with the planting and spacing requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Guidelines and Standards.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-1334

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting.
11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-84-99 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-1403 - NEVADA HOMES GROUP**  
- Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP on 1.67 acres located on the southwest corner of Shadow Mountain Place and Lake Mead Boulevard (APNs: 138-24-304-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Revised site plan
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 52

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He indicated that ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE has been working closely with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S office. The church would be located on the corner of Shadow Mountain Place and Lake Mead Boulevard. ATTORNEY AMICK clarified that the wall on the south property line shows eight feet, but it actually should be six feet. The wall on the west side adjacent to the neighbors will have two additional concrete block courses. Additionally, the applicant agreed to do Shadow Mountain Place according to rural standards, as the neighbors do not want curbs, gutters and sidewalks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 115 – SUP-1403

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if the developer would comply with the multi-use trail on Lake Mead Boulevard. ATTORNEY AMICK replied that they would do all required off-sites on Lake Mead Boulevard.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the proposed project is a product of the applicant working very closely with the neighbors. He appreciates all the hard work and input that has been put into this project.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, indicated that Public Works currently requires normal urban improvements on Shadow Mountain Place. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY emphasized that he would like to grant the neighbors' request and asked that Shadow Mountain Place remain rural. MR. ANDERSON recommended that Condition 15 on Item 116 [SDR-1402] be revised to require incomplete half-street improvements on Lake Mead Boulevard and rural improvements on Shadow Mountain Place.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 115 [SUP-1403] and Item 116 [SDR-1402] took place under Item 115 [SUP-1403]

(4:19 – 4:23)

**5-3027**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for a Church/House of Worship use.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this Special Use permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the provision of a loading zone in accordance with the requirements of the Zoning Code.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 115 – SUP-1403

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

5. All site-related conditions of approval that are contained in Site Development Plan Review SDR-1402 must be addressed.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-1403 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1402 - NEVADA HOMES GROUP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP on 1.67 acres located on the southwest corner of Shadow Mountain Place and Lake Mead Boulevard (APNs: 138-24-304-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Revised site plan
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 53

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition #15 as follows:

15. **Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Lake Mead Boulevard and construct rural improvements on Shadow Mountain Place adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Curbing shall be installed on Shadow Mountain Place if required by the Drainage Study. The exterior streetlighting will be stubbed out for later use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for the future installation, alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City if allowed by the Department of Public Works. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.**

**– UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 116 – SDR-1402

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 115 [SUP-1403] and Item 116 [SDR-1402] took place under Item 115 [SUP-1403]

(4:19 – 4:23)

**5-3027**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance with the conditions of approval for SUP-1403.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect conformance to the loading zone standards of Title 19; and to provide the multi-use trail on the south side of Lake Mead Boulevard.
5. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box non-deciduous trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 116 – SDR-1402

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.].
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. The existing block wall on the west property line shall have added one to two concrete block courses. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Shadow Mountain Place adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Provide an appropriate paved transition to tie into existing pavement south of this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 116 – SDR-1402

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

16. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
17. Extend public sanitary sewer to the south edge of this site along an alignment and to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits.
18. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with the intent of Standard Drawing #222A.
19. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 116 – SDR-1402

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-1260 - ERNEST A. BECKER IV AND KATHLEEN C. BECKER FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF STANPARK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) of 10.3 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Grand Canyon Drive (APN: 125-18-501-001 and 002), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

LAURA DREJA, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked to see the elevations and expressed a concern that the applicant is proposing to deviate from the standards established.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that this is a good-looking project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 117 – ZON-1260

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 117 [ZON-1260] and Item 118 [SDR-1261] took place under Item 117 [ZON-1260]

(4:23 – 4:25)

**5-3315**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. The density shall not exceed 8.0 dwelling units per acre.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Grand Canyon Drive, 50 feet for Grand Teton Drive and a 54 foot radius in the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Grand Teton Drive prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Extend public sewer to the west edge of this site in Grand Teton Drive at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
6. Provide a paved legal access per Clark County Area Standard Drawing #209 to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Grand Canyon Drive and Grand Teton Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 117 – ZON-1260

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1260 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1262 - ERNEST A. BECKER IV AND KATHLEEN C. BECKER FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF STANPARK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review for a proposed 77-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED SUBDIVISION on 10.3 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Grand Canyon Drive (APN: 125-18-501-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

LAURA DREJA, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 118 – SDR-1262

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 117 [ZON-1260] and Item 118 [SDR-1261] took place under Item 117 [ZON-1260]

(4:23 – 4:25)

**5-3315**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve the Rezoning application (ZON-1260) to R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development – 8 Units Per Acre) zoning district on this site.
2. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18-feet to the garage, 14 feet to the front of the house, 10-feet on the corner side, 5-feet on the side, and 10-feet in the rear. The front setbacks are to be measured from the back of the sidewalk where a sidewalk is provided otherwise it will be measured from the back of curb.
3. The Tentative Map shall depict the required 20-foot wide Multi-Use Transportation Trail along the Grand Teton Drive frontage, for trail purposes in accordance with Map two of the Trails Element of the Master Plan.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The maximum building height allowed shall not exceed 2 stories or 35 feet.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 118 – SDR-1262

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

11. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

12. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
13. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the entire subdivision shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of construction drawings for this site.
14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access driveways, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
15. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1260 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
17. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-1323 - DEBBANE BOULOS, ET AL ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units per Acre) on 1.91 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Ahey Road and Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-09-601-009), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. She explained that the applicant was seeking to go forward with the rezoning application but hold in abeyance the companion site development plan review and two vacations. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he supported the abeyance request inasmuch as the applicant is working closely with the Department of Public Works on various issues.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 119 – ZON-1323

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 119 [ZON-1323], Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] took place under Item 119 [ZON-1323]

(4:25 – 4:29)

**5-3475**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. The Special Improvement District section of the Department of Public Works must be contacted and appropriate written agreements (if necessary) must be executed by the property owner(s) of record prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of any Map dividing this site. The written agreements (if applicable) will allow the recalculation and/or the redistribution of all assessments of record on this site.
4. Construct half-street improvements on Buffalo Drive and Ahey Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Limits of construction on Ahey Road may be adjusted to reflect vacated rights-of-way, if rights-of-way are vacated. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Alternatively, the applicant may participate in the public improvement project for the Buffalo Drive improvements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 119 – ZON-1323

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1323 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1324 - DEBBANE BOULOS, ET AL ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 14 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 1.91 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Ahey Road and Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-09-601-009), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units per Acre, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE of Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] to 3/5/2003 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 120 – SDR-1324

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 119 [ZON-1323], Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] took place under Item 119 [ZON-1323]

(4:25 – 4:29)

**5-3475**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION RELATED TO ZON-1323 AND SDR-1324 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-1320**  
- **DEBBANE BOULOS, ET AL ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP** - Petition  
to Vacate a portion of Ahey Road generally located west of Buffalo Drive, Ward 4 (Brown).  
The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE of Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] to 3/5/2003 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 121 – VAC-1320

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 119 [ZON-1323], Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] took place under Item 119 [ZON-1323]

(4:25 – 4:29)

**5-3475**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VACATION RELATED TO ZON-1323, SDR-1324 AND VAC-1320 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-1321 - NEVADA HOMES GROUP** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Ahey Road and Gowan Road, generally located west of Buffalo Drive, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE of Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] to 3/5/2003 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 122 – VAC-1321

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 119 [ZON-1323], Item 120 [SDR-1324], Item 121 [VAC-1320] and Item 122 [VAC-1321] took place under Item 119 [ZON-1323]

(4:25 – 4:29)

**5-3475**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-1339 - PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PERMA-BILT HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre) on 20.16 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Elkhorn Road (APNs: 125-19-501-003, 004, and 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 123 [ZON-1339] and Item 124 [SDR-1336] took place under Item 123 [ZON-1339]

(4:29 – 4:31)

**5-3665**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 123 – ZON-1339

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Vacation Application (VAC-0039-02) shall record prior to recordation of a final map for this site.
4. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Elkhorn Road, 40 feet for Tee Pee Lane and a 54 foot radius at the southwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Tee Pee Lane prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Tee Pee Lane and Elkhorn Road (if legally able) adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. A Master Streetlight Plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 123 – ZON-1339

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1339 - PUBLIC HEARING - SDR-1336 - PARDEE HOMES OF NEVADA, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PERMA-BILT HOMES - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 66 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 20.16 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Elkhorn Road (APNs: 125-19-501-001 through 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, VTN-Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 123 [ZON-1339] and Item 124 [SDR-1336] took place under Item 123 [ZON-1339]

(4:29 – 4:31)

**5-3665**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 124 – SDR-1336

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-1339) to an R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect a detailed calculation showing that the required amount of open space is being provided.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters. Landscaping on the west side of Tee Pee lane shall meet the Town Center Development Standards.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 124 – SDR-1336

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Petition of Vacation VAC-0039-02 shall record prior to the recordation of any Final Maps for this site. If this Vacation is not recorded this site development plan shall be voided and a new site development plan must be submitted that acknowledges the development of the existing right-of-way.
15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access driveways, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
16. Meet with the Clark County School District to provide pedestrian access easements, if necessary, at a mutually acceptable location prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
17. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1339, Z-0079-01, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
19. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0039-02 - VIVINIAN O'HARE ON BEHALF OF RICHMOND AMERICAN HOMES** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: EC-TC (Employment Center - Town Center) TO: MLA-TC (Medium Low Attached - Town Center) on 26.45 acres adjacent to the east side of Tee Pee Lane, approximately 250 feet north of Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-07-801-002), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [VAR-1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO mentioned that COUNCILMAN MACK wanted to hold in abeyance Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to get further information in order to address some issues. COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed his desire to hold the item in abeyance to the 3/5/2003 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1398 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 1.60 acres located on the west side of Lamb Boulevard approximately 750 feet south of Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-013 and 140-30-701-014), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Manager of Planning and Development Department, explained that a previously approved project was discovered to have not gone through the general plan process during the review of a new project. A neighborhood meeting was held and there were no objections by the residents.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:31 – 4:32)

**5-3746**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1292 - DAYBREAK CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP, INC.** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: MLA (Medium-Low Attached Density Residential) on 4.09 acres adjacent to west side of Cimarron Road, approximately 650 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-04-101-011), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>95</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Abeyance request from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 14

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he held a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues relating to Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] and that some residents were present today. He requested that when these items come back on April 16, 2003 that they not be heard before 4:00 p.m. and that the residents be renoticed. He is aware that the applicant's representative, ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, is trying to work with the neighborhood to address those issues.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:38)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1292 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1291 - DAYBREAK CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units per Acre) on 4.09 acres adjacent to the west side of Cimarron Road, approximately 650 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-04-101-011), PROPOSED USE: APARTMENT COMPLEX, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**95**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Abeyance request from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, under Item #127
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 15

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he held a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues relating to Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] and that some residents were present today. He requested that when these items come back on April 16, 2003 that they not be heard before 4:00 p.m. and that the residents be renoticed. He is aware that the applicant's representative, ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, is trying to work with the neighborhood to address those issues.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:46)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1292 AND ZON-1291 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1289 - DAYBREAK CHRISTIAN FELLOWSHIP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED APARTMENT COMPLEX on 4.09 acres adjacent to the west side of Cimarron Road, approximately 650 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-04-101-011), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units per Acre)], Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Abeyance request from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, under Item #127
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 16

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to ACCEPT THE WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 108 [1337] and Item 109 [SDR-1338], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 99 [VAC-0063-02], Item 100 [VAC-1197] to 2/19/2003, Item 125 [GPA-0039-02] to 3/5/2003, and Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] to 4/16/2003 Not to be Heard before 4:00 p.m. – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that he held a neighborhood meeting to discuss issues relating to Item 127 [GPA-1292], Item 128 [ZON-1291] and Item 129 [SDR-1298] and that some residents were present today. He requested that when these items come back on April 16, 2003 that they not be heard before 4:00 p.m. and that the residents be renoticed. He is aware that the applicant's representative, ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, is trying to work with the neighborhood to address those issues.

There was no further discussion.

(1:31 – 1:46)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1333 - RESORTS AT QUEENSRIDGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: MLA (Medium-Low Attached Density Residential) on 16.87 acres on the south side of Alta Drive approximately 2,100 feet west of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-31-610-005), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****21****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 25

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining due to a business relationship with a principal within the Peccole organization**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant regarding this project located within the Queensridge master planned area, across Alta from the Suncoast Hotel/Casino and with the Badlands Golf Course clubhouse to the rear and east. This is currently an approved time-share project with four large, four-story buildings and some commercial within the interior, including a spa. The proposal is for a unique single-family development with no interior walls. Everything shown in green will be open, useable trails running through the entire site. The residents will have use of the pool, tennis court and privileges at the Badlands Country Club and the entire

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 130 – GPA-1333

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Queensridge Master Association. The developer has worked very hard with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD, staff and the neighbors regarding this project. The only clarification sought is in regard to the 10-foot rear setback requirement which was reduced to 8 feet at the Planning Commission. MARGO WHEELER, Manager of Planning and Development Department, agreed to an 8-foot rear setback.

JOE RYDELL appeared as both a Queensridge resident living directly adjacent to the proposed project and as the President of the Queensridge Homeowners Association, speaking on behalf of approximately 1,100 homeowners. The Board of Directors became involved with this project 30 days ago when misinformation was circulating. The Board reviewed the project and supports it 100%. In addition, he and the other residents support the project as individual homeowners.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, confirmed that the time-share has been changed into a single-family residential development and supported the change.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident and mayoral candidate, discussed the private drive along the eastern boundary which leads into the Badlands Clubhouse. ATTORNEY AMICK added that the private drive will be a signaled intersection very soon. MR. McGOWAN recommended approval.

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati, commented that this project is out of line and approval would be a political game. Condos or time-shares would create significant traffic when the traffic on Rampart is already overloaded. This type of wheeling and dealing should be investigated relating to this project, the Suncoast and at least one other area project. There is an implication that staff is being pressured from some source. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that the project is being changed. The original plan for high-rise time-shares is being replaced with single-family residential dwellings, not condominiums.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed the importance of the grade differential. Given the range of possible projects, this proposal is a much superior use to the original proposal. She clarified that MR. GAUGHAN is not involved in this project to her knowledge. ATTORNEY AMICK pointed out that the differential is between eight and ten feet from west to east on the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 130 – GPA-1333

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 130 [GPA-1333], Item 131 [ZON-1340], Item 132 [VAR-1342] and Item 133 [SDR-1341] took place under Item 130 [GPA-1333]

(4:32 – 4:47)

**6-137**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1333 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1340 - RESORTS AT QUEENSRIDGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units per Acre) on 16.87 acres on the south side of Alta Drive approximately 2,100 feet west of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-31-610-005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>30</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

<b>2</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
4. Staff Report
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 26

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining due to a business relationship with a principal within the Peccole organization**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 131 – ZON-1340

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 130 [GPA-1333], Item 131 [ZON-1340], Item 132 [VAR-1342] and Item 133 [SDR-1341] took place under Item 130 [GPA-1333]

(4:32 – 4:47)

**6-137**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-1333) to an MLA (Medium-Low Attached Density Commercial) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-1341) shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
5. Per the approved Peccole Ranch Traffic Signal Participation proposal and the subsequent breakdown of Parcel 18 by Parcel Map PM-36-97, contribute \$29,771.00 prior to the issuance of building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works.
6. A site-specific update to the approved Master Peccole Ranch Traffic Impact Analysis shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis update prior to occupancy of this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic impact Analysis update. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 131 – ZON-1340

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-1333 AND ZON-1340 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-1342 - RESORTS AT QUEENSRIDGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 0.79 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 2.72 ACRES ARE REQUIRED on 16.87 acres on the south side of Alta Drive approximately 2,100 feet west of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-31-610-005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units per Acre], Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>30</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>2</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 27

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining due to a business relationship with a principal within the Peccole organization**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 132 – VAR-1342

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 130 [GPA-1333], Item 131 [ZON-1340], Item 132 [VAR-1342] and Item 133 [SDR-1341] took place under Item 130 [GPA-1333]

(4:32 – 4:47)

**6-137**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-1340) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1341).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-1333, ZON-1340 AND VAR-1342 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1341 - RESORTS AT QUEENSRIDGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 166 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 16.87 acres on the south side of Alta Drive approximately 2,100 feet west of Rampart Boulevard (APN: 138-31-610-005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units per Acre)], Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>30</b>
<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>2</b>
<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
4. Staff Report
5. Back up brought forward from the 1/09/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 28

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 5 to 8 feet in the rear – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining due to a business relationship with a principal within the Peccole organization**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 133 – SDR-1341

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion for Item 130 [GPA-1333], Item 131 [ZON-1340], Item 132 [VAR-1342] and Item 133 [SDR-1341] took place under Item 130 [GPA-1333]

(4:32 – 4:47)

**6-137**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-1340) to an R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum distance between buildings of 6 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 5 feet or less to the front of the garage, 10 feet to the house as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 3 feet on the side or the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.
6. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect open space areas with a minimum width of 15 feet.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters. A landscape buffer shall be required on the west side as approved by Planning and Development Department staff.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 133 – SDR-1341

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. The construction of any screen walls on the internal property boundary lines is prohibited. The construction of perimeter walls is permitted.
15. Any substantial changes to the site plan requires the submittal of a new Site Development Plan Review to be approved by the Planning Commission and City Council.

Public Works

16. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The gated entrance shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
18. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1340, Z-0043-98, and all other site-related actions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 133 – SDR-1341

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

20. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**DB 3936 Alameda Avenue, DB 7004 Alta Drive, NL 9999 Doolittle/Lexington, MSP-1409, RQR-1365, SUP-1348, SUP-1361, SUP-1408, SUP-1416, SUP-1531, VAC-1254, VAR-1295, VAR-1423 – 2/19/2003 AGENDA**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

None.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 5, 2003**

**CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

**MINUTES:**

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cincinnati, introduced a document/map regarding the speed bumps in Meadows Village and summarized his efforts to work with O.C. WHITE in Public Works. The backdoor is being left open for cut-through traffic by cabs as well as private vehicles. Something must be done on Fairfield, Baltimore and Cleveland, otherwise traffic just bypasses Tam and Boston. He referenced a recent newspaper article that identified the problem. He visited Campbell and two other streets between Charleston and Alta and found fourteen speed bumps. As for the allegation that the Fire Department will not approve that many speed bumps, that has been disproved by the high-profile, expensive residential area between Charleston and Alta.

(4:47 – 4:51)

**6-548**

DOROTHY BARNES, homeless individual and advocate for the homeless, outlined her history as a cab driver. She is a very safe driver and never been in an accident since high school. But people in this community have made things very difficult for her. She has been talking to the FBI about things that have been happening. There is a national security problem at Nellis Airforce Base, especially following 9/11. The officials and famous people of this community are at risk.

(4:51 – 4:54)

**6-696**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, pointed out that the United States is a republic and not a democracy. The democratic aspect of the republic is that everyone gets to say their piece. That should include morning agenda items as well. MAYOR GOODMAN encouraged him to have his say at this time. MR. FARLOW protested what is being done to the veterans and that the Department of Building and Safety has determined the existing clinic to be safe and fixable. COUNCILMAN REESE agreed.

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. FARLOW presented the map of bike trails and pointed out that the Nevada Department of Transportation is working with the citizens to reestablish those trails. Now the problem is with the City of Las Vegas. During the road improvements to Mojave Road, the curb cuts were not installed. Stewart Avenue also needs curb cuts. The bike trail along the freeway, in the vicinity of the Detention Center, needs to be relocated to the other side of the street. The old trail needs to be brought up to standard. COUNCILMAN REESE directed MR. FARLOW to contact his office and to bring the photographs with him.

(4:54 – 5:02)

**6-796**

STEVEN DEMPSEY indicated that those people who supported him on his first unsuccessful bid for office still contact him. That is quite an obligation. He sought clarification of three items. He defended the Mayor as an honest person despite the fact that he represented members of the Mob. He encouraged the Council to get on the e-mail list of STEVE MILLER. The Las Vegas Tribune has a story alleging that one of the elected officials congratulated someone on getting rid of STEVE MILLER. There has been a great deal of concern that there has not been a show-cause hearing on the Crazy Horse. People are going there to have fun and getting hurt or involved in violent confrontations. There are also a significant number of police calls. Another similar business has zero calls. This should be investigated. The Federal Government is warning about terrorists, but there has been information that the terrorists are working at the Fremont Street Experience as security guards. Criminal behavior cannot be condoned. The last piece of information he has received is that a State representative claimed that someone on the Governor's Committee grabbed her and threatened her to vote for the Governor's tax package. It appears that there are terrorists in City and State government.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that the Council will take the comment, but cannot act at this time.

(5:02 – 5:11)

**6-1092**

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, spoke as a senior, retired, disabled veteran from Korea. As a patient of the veterans health care program, he sometimes has to go to California for treatment. The intended acreage is insufficient for the proposed hospital. Decentralizing veterans care is foolish. Certainly the administrators may be available to the Council, but they are not available to the veterans they are supposed to care for. Shuttling them around is ridiculous. This Council should send the truth about this situation to the children serving in Iraq. Various members of the Council want the veterans, especially the homeless and mentally challenged, out of the Westside. He pledged that those veterans will not forget the Council. It is only time before the Council is dirt and the world is a better place.

(5:11 – 5:14)

**6-1405**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 5, 2003  
Citizens Participation

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 West Cinninati, stated that he has been involved all week on the veterans situation. He has been meeting with DAVID MARTINEZ, JOHN BRIGHT, JOHN HEMPEL of the Veterans Administration and is very disturbed with the proposal to spread veteran care to eleven different sites. MAYOR GOODMAN recommended that he and MR. CHRISTOFF discuss this after the meeting is adjourned because he will be meeting with SECRETARY PRINCIPPI.

(5:14 – 5:15)

**6-1540**

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:15 P.M.**